Cell

Neuronal Small RNAs Control Behavior Transgenerationally

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Rachel Posner, Itai Antoine Toker, Olga Antonova, ..., Shahar Bracha, Hila Gingold, Oded Rechavi

Correspondence

odedrechavi@gmail.com

In Brief

The idea that brain activity can impact the fate of the progeny goes against a central tenet of biology. Posner et al. describe an RNA-based mechanism for how neuronal responses to environmental cues can be translated into heritable information that affects the behavior of progeny.

Highlights

Check for

- C. elegans neuronal small RNAs are characterized by RNA sequencing
- RDE-4-dependent neuronal endogenous small RNAs communicate with the germline
- Germline HRDE-1 mediates transgenerational regulation by neuronal small RNAs
- Neuronal small RNAs regulate germline genes to control behavior transgenerationally



Neuronal Small RNAs Control Behavior Transgenerationally

Rachel Posner,^{1,3} Itai Antoine Toker,^{1,3} Olga Antonova,¹ Ekaterina Star,¹ Sarit Anava,¹ Eran Azmon,¹ Michael Hendricks,² Shahar Bracha,¹ Hila Gingold,¹ and Oded Rechavi^{1,4,*}

¹Department of Neurobiology, Wise Faculty of Life Sciences and Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel ²Department of Biology, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 1B1, Canada

³These authors contributed equally

Cel

*Correspondence: odedrechavi@gmail.com https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.029

SUMMARY

It is unknown whether the activity of the nervous system can be inherited. In Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes, parental responses can transmit heritable small RNAs that regulate gene expression transgenerationally. In this study, we show that a neuronal process can impact the next generations. Neuronsspecific synthesis of RDE-4-dependent small RNAs regulates germline amplified endogenous small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and germline gene expression for multiple generations. Further, the production of small RNAs in neurons controls the chemotaxis behavior of the progeny for at least three generations via the germline Argonaute HRDE-1. Among the targets of these small RNAs, we identified the conserved gene saeg-2, which is transgenerationally downregulated in the germline. Silencing of saeg-2 following neuronal small RNA biogenesis is required for chemotaxis under stress. Thus, we propose a small-RNA-based mechanism for communication of neuronal processes transgenerationally.

INTRODUCTION

Among the different tissues of the body, the nervous system's ability to collect and organize information about the environment is unmatched. Neuronal mechanisms of perception evolved to sense and interpret external and internal states and to orchestrate adaptive physiological responses fittingly. Ever since antiquity (Stubbe, 1972), many have speculated that brain activity could somehow generate heritable changes that would impact the fate of the next generations. The possibility that the nervous system can control the progeny could have far-reaching consequences.

This idea, however, despite its appeal, challenges one of the basic dogmas of biology, also known as "the second law of biology" (Mattick, 2012). The "Weismann Barrier" (Weismann, 1891) asserts that the heritable information in the germline is segregated from somatic influences. Accordingly, animals' responses to environmental challenges should not become in-

herited. More specifically, if the "Weismann Barrier" is indeed impermeable, then the consequences of neuronal activity should never affect the progeny. Nevertheless, a number of studies suggested that neuronal responses in parents can affect the offspring's behavior. While these examples are fascinating, the exact underling mechanisms remain unknown (Weaver et al., 2004; Remy, 2010; Vassoler et al., 2013; Dias and Ressler, 2014; Gapp et al., 2014a).

In the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, small interfering RNAs that derive from artificial, exogenously supplied doublestranded RNA (exo-small interfering RNAs [siRNAs]) move from somatic cells, including neurons, to the germline (Fire et al., 1998; Devanapally et al., 2015). Further, an elaborate dedicated regulatory pathway has evolved to control transgenerational transmission of small RNA-initiated RNAi (Alcazar et al., 2008; Houri-Ze'evi et al., 2016: Houri-Zeevi and Rechavi, 2017: Lev et al., 2017; Spracklin et al., 2017). Transgenerational gene regulation depends on the amplification, by RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRPs), of heritable small RNAs that bind specialized Argonautes in the germline, such as HRDE-1 (heritable RNAi deficient 1) (Aoki et al., 2007; Buckley et al., 2012), Environmental challenges (e.g., starvation and high temperatures) modulate the pool of heritable small RNAs and produce responses that last for multiple generations (Rechavi et al., 2014; Anava et al., 2015; Ni et al., 2016).

Like many other organisms, nematodes naturally produce, in the soma and in the germline, endogenous siRNAs (endo-siRNAs) that align to multiple loci across the genome. Endo-siRNAs target both protein-coding and non-protein coding loci (Gu et al., 2009; Vasale et al., 2010). Endo-siRNAs align in the antisense orientation to exons, can tile the entire length of the mature mRNA transcript, and complement the target perfectly (Blumenfeld and Jose, 2016). For simplicity, units of small RNAs targeting a specific gene, will be referred to here as STGs (see Rechavi et al. 2014) (see STAR Methods).

We hypothesized that biogenesis of neuronal endo-siRNAs could produce a heritable response. Endo-siRNAs were shown to control several neuronal functions affecting behavior and learning (Juang et al., 2013; Sims et al., 2016; Tonkin and Bass, 2003) and to mediate transgenerational gene regulation in the germline (Ashe et al., 2012; Shirayama et al., 2012; Rechavi and Lev, 2017). We focused on neuronal endo-siRNAs that depend on the double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)-binding protein

⁴Lead Contact

Cell

RDE-4 (RNAi deficient 4). RDE-4 acts upstream in a biogenesis pathway that generates endo-siRNAs (Vasale et al., 2010; Welker et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2006; Duchaine et al., 2006; Gu et al., 2009) and is important for several neuronal functions, including migration of the HSN neuron, learning, and memory (Tonkin and Bass, 2003; Kennedy and Grishok, 2014; Juang et al., 2013).

To study the heritable effects of neuronal small RNAs, we engineered multiple transgenic strains in which we rescued RDE-4's expression specifically in neurons of rde-4(-/-) worms. We found that RDE-4 controls the levels of various endo-siRNAs in neurons, but also, more intriguingly, in the germline. The function of the neuronal RDE-4-dependent germline endo-siRNAs depends on the germline-specific Argonaute HRDE-1 and regulates the expression levels of complementary mRNAs transgenerationally. Furthermore, we discovered that biogenesis of neuronal endo-siRNAs controls transgenerationally the capacity of worms to perform chemotaxis. We found that the conserved gene saeg-2 is regulated in the germline by neuronal RDE-4 in an HRDE-1-dependent manner across multiple generations, and saeg-2 silencing is key for proper chemotaxis. We propose that small RNA regulation is a mechanism that allows the nervous system to communicate with the germline affecting the behavior of the next generations.

RESULTS

Identifying Neuronal Small RNAs

To create worms that produce RDE-4-dependent endo-siRNAs only in neurons, we rescued the expression of *rde-4* specifically in neurons of *rde-4*(*ne299*) mutants (Tabara et al., 2002). In most experiments, we expressed *rde-4* under the control of the panneuronal and neuron-specific promoter *Psng-1* (Ruvinsky et al., 2007; Stefanakis et al., 2015). To complement these studies, we also rescued *rde-4* in neurons using another pan-neuronal promoter, *Prgef-1* (Figure S1).

To monitor the expression of the rescued *rde-4*, we co-transcribed it with a *trans*-spliced *yfp* gene (Figure 1A; STAR Methods). When *rde-4* was expressed in the nervous system, YFP fluorescence and mRNA molecules were detectable in neurons, as determined by both fluorescent microscopy and single molecule fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) (Figures 1A and 1B). While we detected specific and robust expression in the nervous system, we could not detect *yfp* mRNA molecules in the germline (Figure 1C).

To characterize neuronal small RNAs, we extracted RNA and built libraries compatible with Illumina sequencing. Multiple biological replicates were created for each of the examined conditions, and altogether we sequenced 64 small RNA libraries and 27 mRNA libraries (see STAR Methods, GEO: GSE124049). We sequenced RNA extracted from N2 wild types, *rde-4* mutants, and from transgenic worms that express *rde-4* just in neurons. In additional experiments (described below), we sequenced RNA from dissected gonads and from fluorescence-activated cell sorted (FACS) neurons. Detailed descriptions of all datasets generated are available in Table S1. We hypothesized that the identification of neuronal endo-siRNAs could guide us toward the specific genes that they target, perhaps also non-cell autonomously, and by studying the regulation of these genes in the germline and across generations, we could examine if behavior can be controlled transgenerationally.

First, to identify bona fide RDE-4-dependent neuronal small RNAs, we applied stringent criteria to determine which STGs are upregulated when RDE-4 is rescued only in neurons using an integrated single copy Psng-1::rde-4 transgene (Figure 1D; Table S2; STAR Methods). We identified 476 such STGs and found that these endo-siRNAs display typical features of RDE-4-dependent small RNAs (Figures 1D-1F) (Welker et al., 2010; Vasale et al., 2010; Gu et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2006; Duchaine et al., 2006). During small RNA synthesis, RDE-4 binds DCR-1 that processes dsRNA molecules into primary endo-siRNAs, that in turn are further processed by the Argonaute ERGO-1 (Blanchard et al., 2011; Vasale et al., 2010; Welker et al., 2010). Accordingly, as is characteristic to rde-4-dependent small RNAs, we found that the 476 upregulated STGs are enriched for DCR-1-dependent siRNAs (5.3x enrichment, p < 0.001), as well as for ERGO-1-bound endo-siRNAs (8.9x enrichment, $p < 10^{-4}$, Figure 1E) (Vasale et al., 2010). These STGs were also enriched (3.5x enrichment, $p < 10^{-4}$) for endo-siRNAs that depend on somatic MUT-16, a factor required downstream of ERGO-1 to produce this class of small RNAs (Zhang et al., 2011). The same enrichments were obtained when we sequenced small RNAs from two additional lines of transgenic worms, this time overexpressing RDE-4 in neurons off highcopy transgenes, using two different pan-neuronal promoters, Psng-1 or Prgef-1 (Figure S1; Table S2).

Synthesis of RDE-4-dependent small RNAs in neurons led also to downregulation of 744 STGs (Figure 1D; Table S2). We reason that RDE-4 indirectly downregulated these small RNAs, as synthesis of RDE-4-dependent siRNAs in neurons likely comes at the expense of other types of small RNAs. It is well documented that different small RNA pathways compete over shared biosynthesis factors (Gu et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhuang and Hunter, 2011; Sarkies et al., 2013; Houri-Ze'evi et al., 2016). Indeed, in contrast to the upregulated STGs, the downregulated STGs did not display typical characteristics of RDE-4-dependent small RNAs (Figure 1E), again suggesting that RDE-4's role in the downregulation of these STGs is indirect.

We hypothesized that rescuing RDE-4 in neurons could lead also to non-cell autonomous changes in small RNA levels. The experiments described above did not distinguish between neuronal and non-neuronal small RNAs, because we sequenced RNA from whole animals. Because it is challenging to lyse the worm's cuticle without disrupting the integrity of its cells, small RNAs have not been sequenced from any isolated somatic tissue of C. elegans before. However, recently, mRNAs were successfully sequenced from isolated C. elegans neurons that were obtained using a specialized chemomechanical disruption protocol, followed by flow-cytometry cell sorting of single-cell suspensions (Kaletsky et al., 2016). We adapted this protocol for small RNA sequencing and isolated RFP-marked neurons from adult worms (Figure 2A, scheme; STAR Methods). We isolated neurons from N2 wild types, rde-4 mutants, and Psng-1::rde-4 worms and sequenced both small RNAs and mRNAs from the isolated cells. Our N2 mRNA data (Table S3) overlapped



Figure 1. Characterization of Small RNA Changes following Rescue of RDE-4 in Neurons

(A) Nervous system-specific rescue of RDE-4. A typical image demonstrating the neuronal expression pattern of the rescued RDE-4 (*Psng-1::rde-4::SL2::yfp*), as monitored by examination of a *trans*-spliced YFP fluorescent reporter. Bar, 20 μm.

(B and C) smFISH staining of *yfp* transcripts (magenta) and DAPI nuclei staining (blue) in one typical worm expressing the integrated single-copy *Psng-1::rde-4::SL2::yfp* pan-neuronal rescue transgene. Shown are focal plains focusing on the neuronal ventral chord (B, yellow dashed lines), and the germline (C, white dashed lines). Bar, 20 µm.

(D) Expression of STGs in rescued *rde-4(n299);Psng-1::rde-4* worms (y axis) compared to *rde-4(ne299*) mutants (x axis). Shown are the averaged expression values (log2 of RPM) of STGs (see also Table S2). Each dot represents an STG. Red dots, STGs that display differential expression between groups (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1).

(E) x-fold enrichment and depletion values of upregulated STGs and downregulated STGs following RDE-4 rescue in neurons. We tested the enrichment of the RDE-4-dependent STGs against lists of STGs that are known to require DCR-1 for their biogenesis, to bind the Argonaute ERGO-1, and to depend on somatic *mut-16* activity (Welker et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011; Vasale et al., 2010). p values for enrichment were calculated using 10,000 random gene sets identical in size to the tested group (see STAR Methods for details). Enrichments were considered significant if p < 0.05. Not significant [ns], p > 0.05; ***p < 0.001; **** $p < 10^{-4}$.

(F) STGs distributions. Shown are STGs normalized read counts (y axis) as function of genomic location (x axis) of small RNAs targeting the genes *ser-5* and C46G7.5 STGs (in red) in N2 wild-type worms, *rde-4* mutants, and *Psng-1::rde-4* rescue worms. Exons appear on a gray background. Blue arrow points to the direction of transcription.

See also Figure S1.

and correlated strongly with previously published neuronal mRNA data obtained by Kaletsky et al. (2016) (Figure S2, rho = 0.6–0.74 across replicates, $p < 10^{-300}$). To create a list of confidently expressed neuronal small RNAs (dubbed "NeuroSTGs"), we applied a cut-off of >5 rpm per STG in all replicates of N2 worms (Figure S2; Table S3). The set of genes targeted by NeuroSTGs (in comparison to the set of genes targeted by small RNAs extracted from the entire animal) was enriched for genes that function in a variety of neuronal processes (6 out of the 7 top GO terms, Figure S2) (Eden et al., 2009). By comparing neurons extracted from *rde-4* mutants and *Psng-1::rde-4* worms, we identified 46 RDE-4-dependent NeuroSTGs (Figure 2B; Table

S3) that exhibited typical characteristics of RDE-4-dependent small RNAs based on the analyses of their enrichments for STGs associated with DCR-1, ERGO-1, and MUT-16 (Figure 2C). Out of the 46 putative gene targets of these STGs, 9 were affected also at the mRNA level when RDE-4 was rescued in neurons (Figure 2D; Table S3).

In summary, neuronal RDE-4 rescuing experiments, coupled with isolation of neurons, enabled sequencing and identification of neuronal RDE-4-dependent endo-siRNAs. Further, these methods enabled us to continue and investigate whether neuronal rescue of RDE-4 changes germline small RNAs as well.



Figure 2. Sorting of Neurons Followed by RNA Sequencing Allows the Characterization of Neuronal RDE-4-Dependent Small RNAs

(A) Scheme depicting the production of RNA libraries specifically from neurons of *C. elegans*. Single-cell suspensions were produced out of wild type, *rde-4*(–), and *Psng-1::rde-4* strains that express *Prab-3::rfp* in neurons (Kaletsky et al., 2016; Stefanakis et al., 2015), followed by immediate fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Sorted RFP⁺ neurons were collected for total RNA isolation.

(B) Expression levels of NeuroSTGs in rescued *Psng-1::rde-4* worms (y axis) compared to *rde-4(ne299*) mutants (x axis). Shown are the averaged expression values (log2 of rpm) of NeuroSTGs (see also Table S5). Each dot represents a NeuroSTG. 46 NeuroSTGs (red) displayed differential expression between groups (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1).

(C) x-fold enrichment or depletion values of upregulated NeuroSTGs (left) and downregulated NeuroSTGs (right) following neuronal RDE-4 rescue. See also Figure 1E. For the clarity of display, complete depletion (linear enrichment = 0) appears with the smallest value in the scale. ns, p > 0.05; **** $p < 10^{-4}$.

(D) Changes in neuronal mRNA levels (y axis) in *Psng-1::rde-4* compared to *rde-4*(–) neurons, plotted against changes in their associated NeuroSTGs (x axis). Each dot represents the values for one gene, and the 46 genes with significant changes in their corresponding NeuroSTGs are shown (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1). Nine genes (red) exhibited also differential mRNA expression (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1). See also Figure S2 and Table S3.

Neuronal RDE-4 Expression Leads to Transgenerational Inheritance of Endogenous Small RNAs and Germline Regulation of Cognate mRNA Targets

Next, we examined whether biogenesis of neuronal small RNAs could affect the germline and thus perhaps also the next generations. To ensure that any heritable effect that would be detected have originated in the soma, we validated that the rescued rde-4 is not mis-expressed in the germline, using four different complementary methods. First, we tested for RDE-4-dependent germline RNAi (silencing the genes pos-1 and mel-26, which induces embryonic lethality), and witnessed 100% efficiency in rde-4(+) control worms (none of the eggs hatched), while no germline RNAi activity could be detected in Psng-1::rde-4 worms (Figures 3A and S3). Second, the fluorescence of YFP (co-transcribed with rde-4 and used as its proxy) could not be detected in the germline of Psng-1::rde-4::yfp worms (Figures 1A and S1). Third, similarly, we could not detect any yfp transcripts in the germline using the very sensitive smFISH method (Figure 1C). smFISH cannot be used to distinguish between the wild-type rde-4

(rescue) allele and the mutated (*ne299*) allele, because these alleles differ only by a single nucleotide insertion (Tabara et al., 2002). Therefore, as a final measure, we used deep-sequencing to sequence mRNAs from isolated gonads of *Psng-1::rde-4* animals. We found that all the reads (100%, 30 out of 30) that align to the relevant position (spanning the insertion site) in the *rde-4*(*ne299*) allele, contain the disabling insertion sequence (Figure 3B). Namely, no transcripts of the functional allele of *rde-4* could be detected in the gonad.

To examine if changes in NeuroSTGs could be communicated to the germline, we sequenced directly from dissected gonads both small RNAs (dubbed "GermSTGs") and mRNAs (Table S4). We identified 1,287 GermSTGs that were affected by neuronal expression of RDE-4 (Figure 4A). To examine whether these changes are inherited, we sequenced small RNAs from F3 *rde*-4(-/-) progeny (from both whole worms and isolated gonads) derived from *Psng-1::rde-4*(+/-) great-grandparents. Wholeworm sequencing showed that 189 STGs were inherited to the F3 generation (Figure 4B; Table S4). Heritable, RdRP-amplified



endo-siRNAs associate in the germline with two Argonautes performing opposite regulatory functions, HRDE-1 and CSR-1 (Ashe et al., 2012; Buckley et al., 2012; Luteijn et al., 2012; Claycomb et al., 2009; Seth et al., 2013). HRDE-1 is required for transgenerational inheritance of dsRNA-induced RNAi and for transgenerational inheritance of changes in endo-siRNAs levels in response to environmental challenges such as starvation and high temperatures (Ashe et al., 2012; Ni et al., 2016; Rechavi et al., 2014; Shirayama et al., 2012). CSR-1 was shown to inhibit silencing of germline genes and license expression (Conine et al., 2013). We found that inherited STGs are strongly enriched for HRDE-1-bound STGs (3.8x, $p < 10^{-4}$ in whole worms samples and 4.7x, $p < 10^{-4}$ in isolated germline samples) and are depleted from CSR-1-bound STGs (0.08x in whole worms samples, $p < 10^{-4}$, and 0.4x in isolated germline samples, p = 0.07, ns) (Figure 4C) (Buckley et al., 2012; Claycomb et al., 2009).

Further, examination of sequencing data of mRNA extracted from the isolated gonads showed that out of the 124 germline genes that were differentially expressed when RDE-4 was rescued in neurons, 40 (32%) were targeted by GermSTGs (Figure 4D; Table S4). These targets are enriched for genes regulated by the ZFP-1/DOT-1.1 complex (18/40, false discovery rate [FDR] <0.001), known to modulate the transcription rate of essential widely expressed genes (Cecere et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2016). Moreover, in this list of 40 genes, we found an enrichment for his-

Figure 3. The Germline of Psng-1::rde-4 Worms Is Devoid of Functional RDE-4

(A) Worms with the indicated genotype (v axis) were allowed to lay eggs on plates containing dsRNA-producing bacteria targeting the germlineexpressed genes pos-1 and mel-26 or an emptyvector control. Shown are the percentage of hatched eggs per plate (x axis) following exposure to RNAi. Each dot represents one tested plate (biological replicate). Bars represent mean ± SD. Each group was tested in at least three independent experiments. p values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc correction for multiple comparison, **** $p < 10^{-4}$; ns, p > 0.05. (B) Multiple sequence alignment of all the sequencing reads aligned to the genomic locations in the vicinity of the insertion defining the rde-4(ne299) allele. We combined all the reads (30) obtained from three independent replicate gonads samples from Psng-1::rde-4 worms. The wild-type rde-4 sequence is shown at the bottom row. We display only reads in which the insertion site is neither in the edge of the read nor included in soft clipping region of the CIGAR string. Shown is the complementary strand of the rde-4 gene, with the insertion position (chr-III: 10,218,186) marked in a red rectangle.

See also Figure S3.

tone genes (7/40, FDR <0.001). We note that all seven histone genes had elevated levels of both STG and mRNA following neuronal expression of RDE-4 (Figure 4D, blue icons). It was previously shown that small RNA regulation of histone genes is

important for proper transcription and maturation of histone mRNAs (Avgousti et al., 2012). Five genes were transgenerationally regulated by GermSTGs until the F3 generation (Figure 4E, adjusted p value <0.1): his-46, his-61, his-63, Y102A5C.5, and saeg-2. We investigated in depth the regulation of saeg-2, because its RDE-4-dependent STGs were highly abundant also in isolated neurons (Figures 2B and 5A; Table S3). Further, saeg-2 has been shown to affect foraging (exploration in search of food), and therefore we hypothesized that its regulation by neuronally induced parental small RNAs could allow transgenerational control over the progeny's behavior (Hao et al., 2011).

We confirmed the mRNA sequencing results using smFISH and found that saeg-2 is downregulated in the germline (-83%, $p < 10^{-4}$), also transgenerationally (-63%, $p < 10^{-4}$), when rde-4 is rescued in the nervous system (Figures 5B and 5C). Further, neuronal RDE-4 regulation of germline saeg-2 was found to be hrde-1-dependent, again strengthening the conclusion that this gene is transgenerationally regulated by germline-inherited anti-saeg-2 small RNAs (Figure 5D) (Buckley et al., 2012; Shirayama et al., 2012). Similar results were obtained when we validated using smFISH the changes in expression levels of C18D4.6 and C55C3.3, two additional target genes identified by global small RNA and mRNA analyses. C18D4.6 and C55C3.3 germline mRNAs were regulated transgenerationally upon neuronal rescue of RDE-4 using high-copy Psng-1::rde-4



Figure 4. Neuronal RDE-4 Expression Leads to Transgenerational Inheritance of Endogenous Small RNAs and Germline Regulation of Cognate mRNA Targets

(A) Expression of GermSTGs in rescued *rde-4(n299);Psng-1::rde-4* worms (y axis) compared to *rde-4(ne299)* mutants (x axis). Shown are the averaged expression values (log2 of RPM) of GermSTGs (see also Table S4). Each dot represents a GermSTG. Red dots, GermSTGs that display differential expression between groups (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1).

(B) Clustering of STGs based on changes in whole-animal samples from rescued rde-4(-);Psng-1::rde-4 worms compared to rde-4(-) mutants (left), and F3 rde-4(-) progeny of rde-4(-);Psng-1::rde-4(+/-) heterozygote rescue worms compared to rde-4(-) (right). Shown are all STGs displaying significant differential expression in P0 (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1) (see also Table S4). Genes that did not show significant differential expression in F3 (adjusted p value ≥ 0.1) are colored in gray.

(C) x-fold enrichment or depletion values of differentially expressed STGs, for small RNAs bound to the germline Argonautes CSR-1 (Claycomb et al., 2009) and HRDE-1 (Buckley et al., 2012). Tissue and generation of the analyzed STG samples are indicated. "P0" denotes samples extracted from rescued *rde-4(-);Psng-1::rde-4* worms compared to *rde-4(-)* mutants. "F3" denotes samples extracted from F3 *rde-4(-)* progeny of *rde-4(-);Psng-1::rde-4(+/-)* compared to *rde-4(-)*. Enrichments were considered significant if p < 0.05. ns, p > 0.05; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; *** $p < 10^{-4}$.

(D) Changes in germline mRNA levels (y axis) in *Psng-1::rde-4* compared to *rde-4*(-), plotted against changes in their associated GermSTGs (x axis) (see also Table S4). Each dot represents the values for one gene, and the 124 genes with significant changes in germline mRNAs are shown (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1). 40 genes (colored) exhibited also differential STGs expression (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1). 18/40 genes (triangles) are regulated by the ZFP-1/DOT-1.1 complex. 7/40 genes (in blue) encode for histone proteins. *saeg-2* is marked by a black circle.

(E) Changes in germline mRNA levels (y axis) in F3 *rde-4*(–) progeny of *Psng-1::rde-4*(+/–) compared to *rde-4*(–), plotted against changes in their associated GermSTGs (x axis) (see also Table S4). Shown are the 40 genes with differentially expressed mRNA and STGs from (D). Five genes (colored as in D, with their full name indicated) displayed differentially expressed mRNA and STGs also in the F3 generation (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1). See also Figure S3 and Table S4.

transgenes (Figure S3; Table S4). The regulation of C18D4.6 and C55C3.3 was also affected by *hrde-1* (Figure S3). Perhaps surprisingly, out of these genes, only the germline regulation of C55C3.3 was found to be significantly dependent on SID-1, a transmembrane RNA transporter shown to mediate spreading of exogenous siRNAs across tissues (Winston et al., 2002; Jose et al., 2011) (Figures 5E and S3). It was never examined whether SID-1 shuttles any endogenous small RNA species between cells

(microRNAs, piwi-interacting RNAs [piRNAs], or endo-siRNAs). We sequenced small RNAs and mRNAs from the gonads of *sid-1*(+) and *sid-1*(-) animals and found only 27/579 upregulated GermSTGs that depend on both SID-1 and neuronal RDE-4 expression (Figure S4; Table S5). It is possible that other endo-siRNAs are shuttled by different transporters, as many genes came up in different screens for systemic RNAi, and *sid-1* mutants are not entirely resistant to systemic silencing via RNAi



Figure 5. Neuronal RDE-4-Dependent Small RNAs Regulate Germline Expression of saeg-2 Transgenerationally in a HRDE-1-Dependent Manner

(A) STGs read distribution along the saeg-2 gene. Shown are STGs normalized read counts (y axis) against genomic location (x axis) of the small RNAs that target saeg-2 (in red) aligned to the gene locus in N2 wild-type worms, rde-4 mutants, and rde-4(-); Psng-1::rde-4 rescue worms. Exons appear on a gray background. Blue arrow points to the direction of transcription.

(B) Representative images of smFISH staining against saeg-2 in worms of the indicated genotype. The stained worms were synchronized as late L4s. For representation, all images were filtered according to the FISH-quant software (Mueller et al., 2013), projected in the z axis by maximum intensity and threshold adjusted, identically between conditions. Scale bar, 20 μm.

(C–E) Quantification of *saeg-2* germline mRNA expression by smFISH in the indicated genotypes. Levels of *saeg-2* mRNA in the germline are transgenerationally downregulated by neuronal RDE-4 (C), in a *hrde-1*-dependent (D) and *sid-1*-independent (E) manner. The groups were tested on three separate trials (except for N2s data in C obtained from two trials). Each dot represents one quantified worm. Black bars represent mean \pm SD. p values were determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post hoc correction for multiple comparison. ns, p > 0.05, *p < 0.05, *p < 0.01, ****p < 10⁻⁴. See also Figures S3 and S4 and Table S5.

(Winston et al., 2007; Rocheleau, 2012; Jose, 2015; Hinas et al., 2012). Alternatively, the dramatic effects of neuronal RDE-4 on the germline pool of endo-siRNAs could be triggered by secretion from neurons of other signaling molecules (e.g., hormones).

Overall, our results reveal that expression of RDE-4 in neurons affects heritable HRDE-1 endo-siRNAs and leads to transgenerational regulation of germline genes.

Neuronal RDE-4 Controls Behavior Transgenerationally via Regulation of Germline RNA

It was previously shown that RDE-4 plays a role in learning (adaptation) and migration of the HSN neuron, and endo-siRNAs are known to function in various neuronal processes (Bharadwaj and Hall, 2017; Kennedy and Grishok, 2014; Juang et al., 2013;

Tonkin and Bass, 2003; Sims et al., 2016). We examined the chemotaxis capacity of 8 small RNA mutants and discovered that *rde-4*, but not the other mutants, display a strong defect in chemotaxis when cultivated in 25°C, but not in 20°C (Figures 6A, rows a and d, and S5). At 25°C, *rde-4* mutants displayed defective chemotaxis toward multiple different stimuli, both volatile and soluble (Figure S5). A PCA projection showed that mis-expression of small RNAs in *rde-4 mutants* (compared to wild type) is more pronounced in 25°C than in 20°C (Figure S5), suggesting that RDE-4 activity is more important at higher temperatures.

To characterize the chemotaxis defect of the *rde-4* mutants and understand which other factors could be involved, we examined a variety of genetic backgrounds. All the experiments were



Figure 6. Neuronal RDE-4 Controls Behavior Transgenerationally via the Germline Small RNA Machinery

Results for experiments testing chemotaxis to benzaldehyde (1:100) at day 1 of adulthood of worms (ethanol was used as control odor). Chemotaxis index = ((# worms at benzaldehyde) – (# worms at ethanol))/((# total worms on plate) – (# worms at origin)). Each dot represents one plate with >200 worms. All groups were tested on at least three independent trials, each including several biological replicates. Black bars represent mean \pm SD. For convenience, each biological group was assigned a letter label. p values were determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post hoc correction for multiple comparison to the *rde-4*(–) group. ns, p > 0.05; *p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 10⁻⁴.

(A) Chemotaxis experiments on the F3 *rde-4*(–) progeny of *rde-4*(+/–) P0 ancestors and their *hrde-1* and *sid-1* double mutants, together with control strains. (B) Chemotaxis experiments on the F3 rde-4(–) progeny of *Psng-1::rde-4*(+/–);*rde-4*(–/–) P0 ancestors and their *hrde-1* and *sid-1* double mutants, together with control strains.

(C) Chemotaxis experiments on the *rde-4*;saeg-2 double mutants alleles, together with control strains. Three double mutant strains were generated via CRISPR/Cas9.

See also Figure S5.

conducted by placing in each assay >200 worms in the center of a plate containing the volatile attractant benzaldehyde (sensed by the AWC neuron) on one side and ethanol (control) on the other side. We found that the capacity of *rde-4* mutants to perform chemotaxis at 25°C was partially rescued by neuronal *rde-4* expression (Figure 6B, rows k and I). To examine whether RDE-4 is required for sensation of external odor stimuli, we measured calcium influx in the AWC sensory neurons using a microfluidics device (Chronis et al., 2007) and could not detect any significant differences between *rde-4* and wild-type (WT) worms (Figure S5). Because *rde-4* mutants can sense external stimuli, their inability to perform chemotaxis at high temperatures could arise from defects in downstream neuronal circuits (e.g., interneurons) or even from physiological defects that arise in nonneuronal tissues (e.g., germline). Strikingly, while *hrde-1* single mutants did not show defects in chemotaxis (Figure 6A, rows a and b), *hrde-1* was necessary for the rescue of chemotaxis by neuronal RDE-4 (Figure 6B, rows k–m, and S6). As HRDE-1 is expressed exclusively in the germline (Buckley et al., 2012; Shirayama et al., 2012), these results suggest that neuronal-RDE-4 regulates chemotaxis by controlling the activity of germline small RNAs and regulation of germline-expressed genes.

Importantly, we found that RDE-4 affects chemotaxis in a transgenerational manner, because F3 rde-4(-/-) mutant progeny displayed improved chemotaxis when they derived from rde-4(+/-) great-grandparents (Figure 6A, rows d and g). Further, the activity of RDE-4 in the parents' neurons is sufficient for rescuing the chemotaxis defects transgenerationally, since F3 rde-4(-/-) mutant progeny displayed improved chemotaxis also when they derived from *Psng-1::rde-4*(+/-) great-grandparents (Figure 6B, rows k and o). The ability of parental RDE-4 to rescue chemotaxis transgenerationally was also found to be *hrde-1*-dependent (Figures 6A rows d, g, and h, and 6B, rows k, o, and p).

As noted above, *saeg-2* was previously identified in a screen as a gene that affects behavior (Hao et al., 2011). SAEG-2 is an ortholog of the mammalian Dnttip1, a terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase interacting protein (Itoh et al., 2015). In a forward genetic screen, disruption of *saeg-2* rescued the behavioral defects (foraging) of constitutively active EGL-4 kinase (Hao et al., 2011; L'Etoile et al., 2002). We hypothesized that knocking out *saeg-2* in *rde-4* mutants could rescue the mutant's chemotaxis behavior, because in the absence of neuronal RDE-4, *saeg-2* is not targeted by endo-siRNAs, and *saeg-2* mRNA is strongly upregulated in the germline (Figure 5; Table S4). Therefore, we generated three *rde-4;saeg-2* double mutant lines using CRISPR/Cas9 (STAR Methods). We found that all three *rde-4;saeg-2* ities in comparison to *rde-4* mutants (Figure 6C, rows s–v).

Although *saeg-2* was unaffected by SID-1 (Figure 5E), we examined if SID-1 influences chemotaxis, because *saeg-2* misregulation does not explain the entire chemotaxis defect of *rde-4* mutants (Figure 6C). Interestingly, we found that the regulation over behavior of neuronal RDE-4 is affected by SID-1 (Figure 6B, rows k, l, and n), and in *sid-1* mutants the inherited ability of neuronal RDE-4 to rescue the progeny's chemotaxis behavior is significantly more variable (Figure 6B, rows k, o, and q). SID-1 increased the consistency of the inherited behavior (reduces variability) both in F3 *rde-4*(–/–) mutants that derived from *rde-4*(+/–) great-grandparents (F = 4.67, p = 0.0038, F-test of equality of variances) (Figure 6A, rows g and i), and in F3 *rde-4*(+/–) great-grandparents (F = 8.32, p < 10⁻⁴) (Figure 6B, rows o and q).

To conclude, neuronal RDE-4 controls chemotaxis for at least three generations via the germline-restricted Argonaute HRDE-1. Neuronal RDE-4 partially rescues the chemotaxis defect of *rde-4* mutants by silencing *saeg-2* in the germline. SID-1 also contributes to the ability of neuronal RDE-4 to rescue chemotaxis, probably by affecting genes other than *saeg-2*. In summary, biogenesis of neuronal small RNAs controls behavior by regulating the expression of germline-expressed genes.

DISCUSSION

The ability to translate neuronal activity into heritable information could be adaptive. We discovered that RDE-4's activity in the nervous system exerts systemic and transgenerational changes in

endo-siRNAs, gene expression, and behavior. Previous studies have demonstrated that small RNAs are involved, in many animals, in neuronal differentiation, sensation, and behavior (Johnston and Hobert, 2003; Li and Jin, 2010; Chang et al., 2009). We propose here that changes in neuronal endo-siRNAs can be communicated to the offspring via regulation of germline RNA and the activity of the germline endo-siRNA inheritance machinery. Through this route, neuronal responses to external stimuli or internal physiological states could be translated into inheritable information and affect the progeny's behavior and possibly fitness.

In recent years, several studies have suggested that the progeny's behavior could be affected by the parent's neuronal responses to specific stimuli. In mice, the behavior of F2 progenies was affected by odor fear conditioning or postnatal unpredictable care endured by the P0 parents (Dias and Ressler, 2014; Gapp et al., 2014b). In *C. elegans*, olfactory imprinting and, very recently, a learned pathogenic avoidance behavior, were shown to be maintained transgenerationally (Remy, 2010; Moore et al., 2018; Pereira et al., 2019). Changes in neuronal small RNAs could be related to these observations, and therefore in the future it would be interesting to identify specific external stimuli that modulate the activity of RDE-4 or endo-siRNAs in neurons and accordingly generate heritable changes in the germline.

In C. elegans, the nervous system coordinates a wide range of non-cell autonomous physiological processes (Styer et al., 2008; Cornils et al., 2011; Prahlad and Morimoto, 2011; Fletcher and Kim, 2017; Boulias and Horvitz, 2012; Srinivasan, 2015). How do endo-siRNA changes in neurons impact the germline's RNA? We found that a small subset of the non-cell autonomous changes that we documented depend on the conserved and well-studied dsRNA transporter SID-1 (Winston et al., 2002; Devanapally et al., 2015; Jose, 2015; Hinas et al., 2012) (Figure S4; Table S5), and SID-1 affects the ability of neuronal RDE-4 to improve chemotaxis (Figures 6A and 6B). These results might suggest that certain endogenous small RNAs could move from neurons to other tissues. Likewise, we found that the non-cell autonomous regulation of the germline gene C55C3.3 is affected by SID-1 (Figure S3). However, the germline expression of C55C3.3 was regulated only when we overexpressed RDE-4 in neurons using high-copy arrays. It is hard to rule out the possibility that these results stem from indirect changes (Gu et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhuang and Hunter, 2011; Sarkies et al., 2013; Houri-Ze'evi et al., 2016). It is possible that movement of endo-siRNAs is achieved via the action of other transporters. Many genes that affect systemic silencing have been identified in screens (Winston et al., 2002; Jose, 2015), and sid-1 mutants are not entirely defective in systemic RNAi (e.g., gut cells can transport dsRNA-induced silencing in sid-1 mutants) (Rocheleau 2012; Hinas et al., 2012). Alternatively, other signaling molecules may be involved: NeuroSTGs could trigger in neurons a variety of physiological responses that would lead to secretion of many different molecules that can in theory reach the germline. For example, aside from well-known secreted agents, such as neurotransmitters and neuropeptides, it has been shown very recently that neurons of both flies and mice repurpose a retrovirus-like Gag protein (Arc) to traffic RNA between cells (Ashley et al., 2018; Pastuzyn et al., 2018).

How do changes in heritable small RNAs affect the behavior of the next generations? Do heritable small RNAs that change in the germline move from the germline to the nervous system? We favor an alternative model. Previous studies have shown that heritable small RNAs regulate mostly germline-expressed genes (Ashe et al., 2012; Sapetschnig et al., 2015; Devanapally et al., 2015). Heritable small RNAs could change behavior by affecting the molecules that the progeny's germline secretes. Numerous studies, across many species including humans, have shown that changes in germline homeostasis can affect nervous system-produced behavior through various signaling routes (Fujiwara et al., 2016; McCarthy et al., 2009; Bale, 2015). In *C. elegans*, for instance, the male germline affects temperature-dependent signaling in ASJ neurons (Sonoda et al., 2016).

It is likewise possible that the small RNAs transmitted to the zygote affect the nervous system's development, thus affecting behavior. Inherited germline small RNAs have been shown to affect various stages of embryonic development (Gerson-Gurwitz et al., 2016; de Albuquerque et al., 2015). Even in mice, recent studies showed that microRNAs and tRNA fragments produced in the somatic testis tissue (epididymis) are delivered to maturing sperm and are crucial during post-implantation for proper embryonic development of the F1, probably through the regulation of specific targets (Sharma et al., 2018).

Our pipeline generated lists of small RNAs that could be investigated in depth. The strategy for characterizing RDE-4-dependent and neuronally controlled heritable small RNAs enabled, after applying stringent filters, to identify with high confidence five germline genes that are regulated for at least three generations. One of these, Y102A5C.5, is a predicted pseudogene, and 3 others are histone genes. It is possible that the regulation of these targets has functional consequences; however, each of these specific histories is encoded redundantly by numerous loci (14-16 different copies). We decided to further focus our inquiry on saeg-2. Intriguingly, saeg-2 is unique in that out of 80 endo-siRNAs that were found to be mis-regulated in DCR-1 helicase domain mutants, only saeg-2 was upregulated (the other 79 were downregulated) (Welker et al., 2010). We found endo-siRNAs that target saeg-2 in isolated gonads and in isolated neurons (Tables S3 and S4), and silencing of saeg-2 by neuronal RDE-4 is required for chemotaxis under stress. While saeg-2 levels are dramatically regulated in the germline following expression of RDE-4 in neurons, we did not observe changes in saeg-2 mRNA in neurons. We note, however, that overall saeg-2 levels in neurons are anyway very low across conditions. The regulation of saeg-2 by neuronal RDE-4 depends on HRDE-1, and HRDE-1 is also required for the control of neuronal RDE-4 over behavior. Because HRDE-1 expression is restricted to the germline, we suggest that neuronal RDE-4 influences behavior by regulating germline small RNA and genes.

In the future, it would be fascinating to investigate whether neuronal processes, and perhaps even decisions computed by neuronal circuits, can change inherited materials in meaningful ways. Namely, whether, by influencing heritable small RNAs, the nervous system can generate adaptive value.

STAR * METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 Cultivation of the Worms
- METHOD DETAILS
 - O DNA constructs and transgenic animals
 - O CRISPR/Cas9
 - RNAi experiments testing hrde-1 functionality
 - rde-4(ne299) DNA and cDNA analysis
 - Experimental scheme for RNA extraction
 - O Collection of isolated neurons for RNA extraction
 - O Small RNA Library Preparation and Sequencing
 - Gene arrays
 - O mRNA Library Preparation and Sequencing
 - O Single Molecule Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization
 - pos-1 and mel-26 RNAi
 - Chemotaxis assays
 - Microfluidic device fabrication
 - Calcium Imaging
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
 - Small RNA-seq analysis
 - O Bioinformatic gene enrichment analysis
 - smFISH Image Analysis
 - Calcium Imaging analysis
 - O Germline GFP expression analysis
 - Pan-neuronal YFP expression analysis
 - Statistics and graphing
- DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2019.04.029.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the Rechavi lab members for helpful discussions. This project was initiated when O.R. was a postdoctoral fellow in Oliver Hobert's lab, and we thank Oliver for his wise advice and for contributing useful ideas. We are also thankful to Sze Yen (John) Kerk from the O.H. lab for his advice. We thank members of Michael Hendricks's lab for their support and discussion. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). We thank Meital Oren-Suissa for kindly providing us with strains. We thank Siegfried Hekimi and his lab for providing us with strains and plasmids. Thanks to Hugo Aguilaniu and his lab for assisting with preparation of transgenic strains. We are grateful to Jasmine Jacob, Karen Cesarkas, Sarit Farage Barhom, and Efrat Glick Saar from the Sheba Cancer Research Institute for sequencing services. We thank Irena Shur for scientific assistance with flow cytometry and cell sorting. Special thanks to Dror Cohen for the illustrations that he contributed. We thank the Eldee Foundation and the Bloomfield family of Montreal for their support. O.R. gratefully acknowledges the support of the Allen Discovery Center (part of the Paul G. Allen Frontiers Group) and the support of the Adelis Foundation (0604916191). This work was funded by ERC (335624).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

R.P., I.A.T., and O.R. conceived and designed the experiments. R.P., I.A.T., O.A., E.S., and S.A. performed the experiments. H.G., R.P., I.A.T., and S.B. performed genomic data analysis. E.A., R.P., O.A., and E.S. performed microscopy analysis. I.A.T., R.P., H.G., and O.R. wrote the manuscript with input from S.A., O.A., and M.H.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: December 19, 2018 Revised: February 18, 2019 Accepted: April 13, 2019 Published: June 6, 2019

REFERENCES

Alcazar, R.M., Lin, R., and Fire, A.Z. (2008). Transmission dynamics of heritable silencing induced by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics *180*, 1275–1288.

Anava, S., Posner, R., and Rechavi, O. (2015). The soft genome. Worm 3, e989798.

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31, 166–169.

Aoki, K., Moriguchi, H., Yoshioka, T., Okawa, K., and Tabara, H. (2007). In vitro analyses of the production and activity of secondary small interfering RNAs in *C. elegans*. EMBO J. 26, 5007–5019.

Ashe, A., Sapetschnig, A., Weick, E.M., Mitchell, J., Bagijn, M.P., Cording, A.C., Doebley, A.L., Goldstein, L.D., Lehrbach, N.J., Le Pen, J., et al. (2012). piRNAs can trigger a multigenerational epigenetic memory in the germline of *C. elegans*. Cell *150*, 88–99.

Ashley, J., Cordy, B., Lucia, D., Fradkin, L.G., Budnik, V., and Thomson, T. (2018). Retrovirus-like Gag Protein Arc1 Binds RNA and Traffics across Synaptic Boutons. Cell *172*, 262–274.

Avgousti, D.C., Palani, S., Sherman, Y., and Grishok, A. (2012). CSR-1 RNAi pathway positively regulates histone expression in *C. elegans*. EMBO J. *31*, 3821–3832.

Axtell, M.J. (2013). ShortStack: comprehensive annotation and quantification of small RNA genes. RNA 19, 740–751.

Axtell, M.J. (2014). Butter: High-precision genomic alignment of small RNA-seq data. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/007427.

Bale, T.L. (2015). Epigenetic and transgenerational reprogramming of brain development. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *16*, 332–344.

Bharadwaj, P.S., and Hall, S.E. (2017). Endogenous RNAi Pathways Are Required in Neurons for Dauer Formation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics *205*, 1503–1516.

Blanchard, D., Parameswaran, P., Lopez-Molina, J., Gent, J., Saynuk, J.F., and Fire, A. (2011). On the nature of in vivo requirements for rde-4 in RNAi and developmental pathways in *C. elegans*. RNA Biol. *8*, 458–467.

Blumenfeld, A.L., and Jose, A.M. (2016). Reproducible features of small RNAs in *C. elegans* reveal NU RNAs and provide insights into 22G RNAs and 26G RNAs. RNA *22*, 184–192.

Boulias, K., and Horvitz, H.R. (2012). The *C. elegans* microRNA mir-71 acts in neurons to promote germline-mediated longevity through regulation of DAF-16/FOXO. Cell Metab. *15*, 439–450.

Buckley, B.A., Burkhart, K.B., Gu, S.G., Spracklin, G., Kershner, A., Fritz, H., Kimble, J., Fire, A., and Kennedy, S. (2012). A nuclear Argonaute promotes multigenerational epigenetic inheritance and germline immortality. Nature 489, 447–451.

Cecere, G., Hoersch, S., Jensen, M.B., Dixit, S., and Grishok, A. (2013). The ZFP-1(AF10)/DOT-1 complex opposes H2B ubiquitination to reduce Pol II transcription. Mol. Cell *50*, 894–907.

Chang, S., Wen, S., Chen, D., and Jin, P. (2009). Small regulatory RNAs in neurodevelopmental disorders. Hum. Mol. Genet. *18* (R1), R18–R26.

Chronis, N., Zimmer, M., and Bargmann, C.I. (2007). Microfluidics for in vivo imaging of neuronal and behavioral activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat. Methods *4*, 727–731.

Claycomb, J.M., Batista, P.J., Pang, K.M., Gu, W., Vasale, J.J., van Wolfswinkel, J.C., Chaves, D.A., Shirayama, M., Mitani, S., Ketting, R.F., et al. (2009). The Argonaute CSR-1 and its 22G-RNA cofactors are required for holocentric chromosome segregation. Cell *139*, 123–134.

Conine, C.C., Moresco, J.J., Gu, W., Shirayama, M., Conte, D., Jr., Yates, J.R., III, and Mello, C.C. (2013). Argonautes Promote Male Fertility and Provide a Paternal Memory of Germline Gene Expression in *C. elegans*. Cell *155*, 1532–1544.

Conine, C.C., Sun, F., Song, L., Rivera-Pérez, J.A., and Rando, O.J. (2018). Small RNAs Gained during Epididymal Transit of Sperm Are Essential for Embryonic Development in Mice. Dev. Cell *46*, 470–480.e3.

Cornils, A., Gloeck, M., Chen, Z., Zhang, Y., and Alcedo, J. (2011). Specific insulin-like peptides encode sensory information to regulate distinct developmental processes. Development *138*, 1183–1193.

de Albuquerque, B.F.M., Placentino, M., and Ketting, R.F. (2015). Maternal piRNAs Are Essential for Germline Development following De Novo Establishment of Endo-siRNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Cell *34*, 448–456.

Devanapally, S., Ravikumar, S., and Jose, A.M. (2015). Double-stranded RNA made in *C. elegans* neurons can enter the germline and cause transgenerational gene silencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *112*, 2133–2138.

Dias, B.G., and Ressler, K.J. (2014). Parental olfactory experience influences behavior and neural structure in subsequent generations. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 89–96.

Dickinson, D.J., Ward, J.D., Reiner, D.J., and Goldstein, B. (2013). Engineering the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. Nat. Methods *10*, 1028–1034.

Duchaine, T.F., Wohlschlegel, J.A., Kennedy, S., Bei, Y., Conte, D., Jr., Pang, K., Brownell, D.R., Harding, S., Mitani, S., Ruvkun, G., et al. (2006). Functional proteomics reveals the biochemical niche of *C. elegans* DCR-1 in multiple small-RNA-mediated pathways. Cell *124*, 343–354.

Eden, E., Navon, R., Steinfeld, I., Lipson, D., and Yakhini, Z. (2009). GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics *10*, 48.

Fire, A., Xu, S., Montgomery, M.K., Kostas, S.A., Driver, S.E., and Mello, C.C. (1998). Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature *391*, 806–811.

Fletcher, M., and Kim, D.H. (2017). Age-dependent neuroendocrine signaling from sensory neurons modulates the effect of dietary restriction on longevity of *Caenorhabditis elegans* K. PLoS Genet. *13*, e1006544.

Frøkjaer-Jensen, C., Davis, M.W., Hopkins, C.E., Newman, B.J., Thummel, J.M., Olesen, S.P., Grunnet, M., and Jorgensen, E.M. (2008). Single-copy insertion of transgenes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat. Genet. *40*, 1375–1383.

Fujiwara, M., Aoyama, I., Hino, T., Teramoto, T., and Ishihara, T. (2016). Gonadal Maturation Changes Chemotaxis Behavior and Neural Processing in the Olfactory Circuit of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr. Biol. *26*, 1522–1531.

Gapp, K., Jawaid, A., Sarkies, P., Bohacek, J., Pelczar, P., Prados, J., Farinelli, L., Miska, E., and Mansuy, I.M. (2014a). Implication of sperm RNAs in transgenerational inheritance of the effects of early trauma in mice. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 667–669.

Gapp, K., Soldado-Magraner, S., Alvarez-Sánchez, M., Bohacek, J., Vernaz, G., Shu, H., Franklin, T.B., Wolfer, D., and Mansuy, I.M. (2014b). Early life stress in fathers improves behavioural flexibility in their offspring. Nat. Commun. *5*, 5466.

Gerson-Gurwitz, A., Wang, S., Sathe, S., Green, R., Yeo, G.W., Oegema, K., and Desai, A. (2016). A Small RNA-Catalytic Argonaute Pathway Tunes Germline Transcript Levels to Ensure Embryonic Divisions. Cell *165*, 396–409.

Gu, W., Shirayama, M., Conte, D., Jr., Vasale, J., Batista, P.J., Claycomb, J.M., Moresco, J.J., Youngman, E.M., Keys, J., Stoltz, M.J., et al. (2009). Distinct argonaute-mediated 22G-RNA pathways direct genome surveillance in the *C. elegans* germline. Mol. Cell *36*, 231–244.

Hao, Y., Xu, N., Box, A.C., Schaefer, L., Kannan, K., Zhang, Y., Florens, L., Seidel, C., Washburn, M.P., Wiegraebe, W., and Mak, H.Y. (2011). Nuclear cGMP-Dependent Kinase Regulates Gene Expression via Activity-Dependent Recruitment of a Conserved Histone Deacetylase Complex. PLoS Genet. 7, e1002065.

Hart, A. (2006). Behavior. WormBook, 1-67.

Hendricks, M., Ha, H., Maffey, N., and Zhang, Y. (2012). Compartmentalized calcium dynamics in *C. elegans* interneuron encode head movement. Nature *487*, 99–103.

Hinas, A., Wright, A.J., and Hunter, C.P. (2012). SID-5 is an endosome-associated protein required for efficient systemic RNAi in *C. elegans*. Curr. Biol. *22*, 1938–1943.

Houri-Ze'evi, L., Korem, Y., Sheftel, H., Faigenbloom, L., Toker, I.A., Dagan, Y., Awad, L., Degani, L., Alon, U., and Rechavi, O. (2016). A Tunable Mechanism Determines the Duration of the Transgenerational Small RNA Inheritance in *C. elegans*. Cell *165*, 88–99.

Houri-Zeevi, L., and Rechavi, O. (2017). A Matter of Time: Small RNAs Regulate the Duration of Epigenetic Inheritance. Trends Genet. *33*, 46–57.

Itoh, T., Fairall, L., Muskett, F.W., Milano, C.P., Watson, P.J., Arnaudo, N., Saleh, A., Millard, C.J., El-Mezgueldi, M., Martino, F., and Schwabe, J.W. (2015). Structural and functional characterization of a cell cycle associated HDAC1/2 complex reveals the structural basis for complex assembly and nucleosome targeting. Nucleic Acids Res. *43*, 2033–2044.

Johnston, R.J., and Hobert, O. (2003). A microRNA controlling left/right neuronal asymmetry in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature *426*, 845–849.

Jose, A.M. (2015). Movement of regulatory RNA between animal cells. Genesis 53, 395–416.

Jose, A.M., Garcia, G.A., and Hunter, C.P. (2011). Two classes of silencing RNAs move between *Caenorhabditis elegans* tissues. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *18*, 1184–1188.

Juang, B.-T., Gu, C., Starnes, L., Palladino, F., Goga, A., Kennedy, S., and L'Etoile, N.D. (2013). Endogenous nuclear RNAi mediates behavioral adaptation to odor. Cell *154*, 1010–1022.

Kaletsky, R., Lakhina, V., Arey, R., Williams, A., Landis, J., Ashraf, J., and Murphy, C.T. (2016). The *C. elegans* adult neuronal IIS/FOXO transcriptome reveals adult phenotype regulators. Nature *529*, 92–96.

Kennedy, L.M., and Grishok, A. (2014). Neuronal migration is regulated by endogenous RNAi and chromatin-binding factor ZFP-1/AF10 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics *197*, 207–220.

Kim, H., Ishidate, T., Ghanta, K.S., Seth, M., Conte, D., Jr., Shirayama, M., and Mello, C.C. (2014). A co-CRISPR strategy for efficient genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics *197*, 1069–1080.

L'Etoile, N.D., Coburn, C.M., Eastham, J., Kistler, A., Gallegos, G., and Bargmann, C.I. (2002). The cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase EGL-4 regulates olfactory adaptation in *C. elegans*. Neuron *36*, 1079–1089.

Lee, R.C., Hammell, C.M., and Ambros, V. (2006). Interacting endogenous and exogenous RNAi pathways in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. RNA *12*, 589–597.

Lev, I., Seroussi, U., Gingold, H., Bril, R., Anava, S., and Rechavi, O. (2017). MET-2-Dependent H3K9 Methylation Suppresses Transgenerational Small RNA Inheritance. Curr. Biol. *27*, 1138–1147.

Li, X., and Jin, P. (2010). Roles of small regulatory RNAs in determining neuronal identity. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 11, 329–338.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*, 550.

Luteijn, M.J., van Bergeijk, P., Kaaij, L.J., Almeida, M.V., Roovers, E.F., Berezikov, E., and Ketting, R.F. (2012). Extremely stable Piwi-induced gene silencing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. EMBO J. *31*, 3422–3430.

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal *17*, 10–12.

Mattick, J.S. (2012). Rocking the foundations of molecular genetics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109*, 16400–16401.

McCarthy, M.M., Auger, A.P., Bale, T.L., De Vries, G.J., Dunn, G.A., Forger, N.G., Murray, E.K., Nugent, B.M., Schwarz, J.M., and Wilson, M.E. (2009). The epigenetics of sex differences in the brain. J. Neurosci. *29*, 12815–12823.

Mok, D.Z.L., Sternberg, P.W., and Inoue, T. (2015). Morphologically defined sub-stages of *C. elegans* vulval development in the fourth larval stage. BMC Dev. Biol. *15*, 26.

Moore, R.S., Kaletsky, R., and Murphy, C.T. (2018). *C. elegans* pathogenic learning confers multigenerational pathogen avoidance. bioRxiv. https://doi. org/10.1101/476416.

Mueller, F., Senecal, A., Tantale, K., Marie-Nelly, H., Ly, N., Collin, O., Basyuk, E., Bertrand, E., Darzacq, X., and Zimmer, C. (2013). FISH-quant: automatic counting of transcripts in 3D FISH images. Nat. Methods *10*, 277–278.

Ni, J.Z., Kalinava, N., Chen, E., Huang, A., Trinh, T., and Gu, S.G. (2016). A transgenerational role of the germline nuclear RNAi pathway in repressing heat stress-induced transcriptional activation in *C. elegans*. Epigenetics Chromatin 9, 3.

Ouellette, M.H., Desrochers, M.J., Gheta, I., Ramos, R., and Hendricks, M. (2018). A Gate-and-Switch Model for Head Orientation Behaviors in Caeno-rhabditis elegans. eNeuro 5, ENEURO.0121–18.2018.

Pastuzyn, E.D., Day, C.E., Kearns, R.B., Kyrke-Smith, M., Taibi, A.V., McCormick, J., Yoder, N., Belnap, D.M., Erlendsson, S., Morado, D.R., et al. (2018). The Neuronal Gene Arc Encodes a Repurposed Retrotransposon Gag Protein that Mediates Intercellular RNA Transfer. Cell *172*, 275–288.

Pereira, A., Gracida, X., Kagias, K., and Zhang, Y. (2019). Learning of pathogenic bacteria in adult *C. elegans* bidirectionally regulates pathogen response in the progeny. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/500264v2.

Prahlad, V., and Morimoto, R.I. (2011). Neuronal circuitry regulates the response of *Caenorhabditis elegans* to misfolded proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 14204–14209.

Rechavi, O., and Lev, I. (2017). Principles of Transgenerational Small RNA Inheritance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr. Biol. 27, R720–R730.

Rechavi, O., Houri-Ze'evi, L., Anava, S., Goh, W.S.S., Kerk, S.Y., Hannon, G.J., and Hobert, O. (2014). Starvation-induced transgenerational inheritance of small RNAs in *C. elegans*. Cell *158*, 277–287.

Remy, J.-J. (2010). Stable inheritance of an acquired behavior in Caenorhabditis elegans. Curr. Biol. 20, R877–R878.

Rocheleau, C.E. (2012). RNA interference: Systemic RNAi SIDes with endosomes. Curr. Biol. 22, R873–R875.

Rual, J.-F., Ceron, J., Koreth, J., Hao, T., Nicot, A.S., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Vandenhaute, J., Orkin, S.H., Hill, D.E., van den Heuvel, S., and Vidal, M. (2004). Toward improving *Caenorhabditis elegans* phenome mapping with an ORFeome-based RNAi library. Genome Res. *14*, 2162–2168.

Ruvinsky, I., Ohler, U., Burge, C.B., and Ruvkun, G. (2007). Detection of broadly expressed neuronal genes in *C. elegans*. Dev. Biol. *302*, 617–626.

San-Miguel, A., and Lu, H. (2013). Microfluidics as a tool for C. elegans research. WormBook, 1-19.

Sapetschnig, A., Sarkies, P., Lehrbach, N.J., and Miska, E.A. (2015). Tertiary siRNAs mediate paramutation in *C. elegans*. PLoS Genet. *11*, e1005078.

Sarkies, P., Ashe, A., Le Pen, J., McKie, M.A., and Miska, E.A. (2013). Competition between virus-derived and endogenous small RNAs regulates gene expression in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genome Res. *23*, 1258–1270.

Seth, M., Shirayama, M., Gu, W., Ishidate, T., Conte, D., Jr., and Mello, C.C. (2013). The *C. elegans* CSR-1 argonaute pathway counteracts epigenetic silencing to promote germline gene expression. Dev. Cell *27*, 656–663.

Sharma, U., Sun, F., Conine, C.C., Reichholf, B., Kukreja, S., Herzog, V.A., Ameres, S.L., and Rando, O.J. (2018). Small RNAs Are Trafficked from the Epididymis to Developing Mammalian Sperm. Dev. Cell *46*, 481–494.

Shirayama, M., Seth, M., Lee, H.C., Gu, W., Ishidate, T., Conte, D., Jr., and Mello, C.C. (2012). piRNAs initiate an epigenetic memory of nonself RNA in the *C. elegans* germline. Cell *150*, 65–77.

Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC-A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data (Babraham Bioinformatics).

Sims, J.R., Ow, M.C., Nishiguchi, M.A., Kim, K., Sengupta, P., and Hall, S.E. (2016). Developmental programming modulates olfactory behavior in *C. elegans* via endogenous RNAi pathways. Elife *5*, e11642.

Sonoda, S., Ohta, A., Maruo, A., Ujisawa, T., and Kuhara, A. (2016). Sperm Affects Head Sensory Neuron in Temperature Tolerance of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Cell Rep. *16*, 56–65.

Spracklin, G., Fields, B., Wan, G., Vijayendran, D., Wallig, A., Shukla, A., and Kennedy, S. (2017). Identification and Characterization of *C. elegans* RNAi Inheritance Machinery. Genetics *206*, 1403–1416.

Srinivasan, S. (2015). Regulation of body fat in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 77, 161–178.

Stefanakis, N., Carrera, I., and Hobert, O. (2015). Regulatory Logic of Pan-Neuronal Gene Expression in *C. elegans*. Neuron *87*, 733–750.

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of C. elegans. WormBook, 1–11.

Stubbe, H. (1972). History of Genetics: From Prehistoric Times to the Rediscovery of Mendel's Laws (MIT Press).

Styer, K.L., Singh, V., Macosko, E., Steele, S.E., Bargmann, C.I., and Aballay, A. (2008). Innate immunity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* is regulated by neurons expressing NPR-1/GPCR. Science *322*, 460–464.

Tabara, H., Yigit, E., Siomi, H., and Mello, C.C. (2002). The dsRNA binding protein RDE-4 interacts with RDE-1, DCR-1, and a DExH-box helicase to direct RNAi in *C. elegans*. Cell *109*, 861–871.

Tian, L., Hires, S.A., Mao, T., Huber, D., Chiappe, M.E., Chalasani, S.H., Petreanu, L., Akerboom, J., McKinney, S.A., Schreiter, E.R., et al. (2009). Imaging neural activity in worms, flies and mice with improved GCaMP calcium indicators. Nat. Methods *6*, 875–881.

Tonkin, L.A., and Bass, B.L. (2003). Mutations in RNAi rescue aberrant chemotaxis of ADAR mutants. Science *302*, 1725.

Vasale, J.J., Gu, W., Thivierge, C., Batista, P.J., Claycomb, J.M., Youngman, E.M., Duchaine, T.F., Mello, C.C., and Conte, D., Jr. (2010). Sequential rounds of RNA-dependent RNA transcription drive endogenous small-RNA biogen-

esis in the ERGO-1/Argonaute pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 3582-3587.

Vassoler, F.M., White, S.L., Schmidt, H.D., Sadri-Vakili, G., and Pierce, R.C. (2013). Epigenetic inheritance of a cocaine-resistance phenotype. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 42–47.

Weaver, I.C.G., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F.A., D'Alessio, A.C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J.R., Dymov, S., Szyf, M., and Meaney, M.J. (2004). Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. Nat. Neurosci. *7*, 847–854.

Weismann, A. (1891). Essays Upon Heredity and Kindred Biological Problems (Oxford Clarendon Press).

Welker, N.C., Pavelec, D.M., Nix, D.A., Duchaine, T.F., Kennedy, S., and Bass, B.L. (2010). Dicer's helicase domain is required for accumulation of some, but not all, *C. elegans* endogenous siRNAs. RNA *16*, 893–903.

Winston, W.M., Molodowitch, C., and Hunter, C.P. (2002). Systemic RNAi in *C. elegans* requires the putative transmembrane protein SID-1. Science *295*, 2456–2459.

Winston, W.M., Sutherlin, M., Wright, A.J., Feinberg, E.H., and Hunter, C.P. (2007). *Caenorhabditis elegans* SID-2 is required for environmental RNA interference. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 10565–10570.

Woods, S., Coghlan, A., Rivers, D., Warnecke, T., Jeffries, S.J., Kwon, T., Rogers, A., Hurst, L.D., and Ahringer, J. (2013). Duplication and retention biases of essential and non-essential genes revealed by systematic knock-down analyses. PLoS Genet. *9*, e1003330.

Yang, W., Dierking, K., and Schulenburg, H. (2016). WormExp: a web-based application for a *Caenorhabditis elegans*-specific gene expression enrichment analysis. Bioinformatics *32*, 943–945.

Zhang, C., Montgomery, T.A., Gabel, H.W., Fischer, S.E., Phillips, C.M., Fahlgren, N., Sullivan, C.M., Carrington, J.C., and Ruvkun, G. (2011). mut-16 and other mutator class genes modulate 22G and 26G siRNA pathways in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 1201–1208.

Zhuang, J.J., and Hunter, C.P. (2011). Tissue specificity of *Caenorhabditis elegans* enhanced RNA interference mutants. Genetics *188*, 235–237.

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins New England Bioloabs R0529 BanAl New England Bioloabs R0574 Levamiosic hydrochloride Sigma L0380000 Trizol Reagent Life Technologies 15596026 Pronase Sigma 1016921101 30 µm filters Sysmex SVS-04-004-2326 Trizol LS Reagent Life technologies 10296028 DTT Sigma 1017977701 MiRneasy column RNA kit CIAGEN 23028330 DT Sigma 2028330 New Phace Lock tube QuantaBio 23028330 RNA S' Polybiosphatase Epicenter R980291 Trusol Samal RNA Library Prep Set for illumina New England Biolaba E7300 Trusol Small RNA Library Prep Kit Illumina S67-5582 5067-5588 TapeStation recent tapes Agilent 5067-5582 5067-5588 TapeStation recent tapes Agilent 507-5582 5067-5588 Station Streent tapes Agilent 507-5582 5067-5588 TapeStation recent tapes Agilent 507-5582 5067-5588	REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
BarnAl New England Bioloabs PG29 Mouth aspirator and Microcapillary tubes Sigma L030000 Lizarnisole hydrochloride Sigma L030000 Trizal Reagent Lifo Tachnologies 15589026 Pronase Sigma U0165921001 30 Jum filters Sysme X SYS-04-004-2326 Trizal LS Reagent Life technologies 10289028 DTT Sigma 10197777001 MiRneazy column RNA kit CIAGEN 27004 Phase Lock tube QuantaBio 23028330 NER SP oblypiosphatase Epicenter RP8021H NERsextis Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for illumina New England Biolabs E7300 Truseg Station reagents Agilent 5067-5583 5067-5589 TapeStation reagents Agilent 5067	Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Mouth appraint or and Microcapillary tubesSigmaP074Lavanisole hydrochlorideSigmaL0380000Lavanisole hydrochlorideSigmaL0380000PronaseSigma1016892100130 µm filtersSysmexSYS-04-004-2228Trickal LS ReagentLife tochnologies01298028DTTSigma01298028DTTSigma01298028DTTSigma2019MiRneasy colum RNA kitQiAGEN220830Phenol Choroform IsoamylGuantalio2328330RNA 5/ PolyphosphataseNew England Biolats7200TruSka SigmaNew England Biolats67300TruSka SigmaAglient5067-5588 5067-5588TapeStation screen tapesAglient5067-5588 5067-5588TapeStation screen tapesAglient5067-5588 5067-5588TapeStation screen tapesAglient5080-5589E-Gel 4% agarcseLife Technologies6401004MinElute DNA purification kitNextera63480NaktFT Scy 4 Ultra Low Input RNA kitNextera63490 <td>BsmAl</td> <td>New England Bioloabs</td> <td>R0529</td>	BsmAl	New England Bioloabs	R0529
Lavanisole hydrochlorideSigmaL0380000Trizol ReagentL1br Technologies155962630 µm filtersSysmexSYS-04-004-232630 µm filtersSysmexSYS-04-004-232630 µm filtersSysmexSYS-04-004-2326Trizol LS ReagentLife technologies1099777001MiRneasy column RNA kitQIAGEN217004Phenol Chloroform IsoamylQuantation2028330RNA by PohyphosphataseEpicenterR98092HTrixols Synall RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsE7300Trases Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England Biolabs6507-5582 5067-5583TapeStation reagentsAglient5067-5582 5067-5583TapeStation reagentsAglient5067-5582 5067-5583TapeStation reagentsAglient5067-5582 5067-5583SMART-Seq v4 Utra Low Input RNA KitNextera634890Naktera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIllumina634890To YangaroseAmbionAdlectTo YangaroseAmbionAdlectCorr Honk Schlar (PBS)AmbionAdlestCorr Honk Schlar (PGS)AmbionAdlestCorr Honk Schlar (PGS)AmbionAdlestCorr Honk Schlar (PGS)AmbionAdlestCorr Honk Schlar (PGS)Ambion <t< td=""><td>Mouth aspirator and Microcapillary tubes</td><td>Sigma</td><td>P0674</td></t<>	Mouth aspirator and Microcapillary tubes	Sigma	P0674
Trizo ReagentLife Technologies15596026PronaseSigma1016532/1001Solum filtersSigma1016232/1001Trizol LS ReagentLife technologies10296028DTTSigma101977701MiRneasy column RNA kitOlAGEN217004Phenol Chloroforn Isoamy1Sigma200830RNA 5' PolyphosphataseReaverPereoreRNA 5' PolyphosphataseRow England BiolabsE7300TruSeg Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsE7300TruSeg Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaAgilent5067-5583 5067-5588TapeStation screen tapsAgilent5067-5583 5067-5588E-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElue DNA purification kitNaktora20086NART-Seq 4/ Ultra Low Input RNA KitNaktora20086Naktar XT DNA Library Preparation KitIllumina647-533 5067-5583Tosphatase-free waterAmbionAM922Nakar Fee Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM923Nakar Fee BSAAmbionAM932Nakar fee BSAAmbionAM932Nakar fee BSAAmbionAM932Nakar fee BSASigmaG315Oradid Houldeoside complexSigmaG315Nakar fee BSAAmbionAM932Nakar fee BSAAmbionAM932Nakar fee BSASigmaG315Oradid Houldeoside stockSigmaG315DAPISigmaG315DAPISigma <td>Levamisole hydrochloride</td> <td>Sigma</td> <td>L0380000</td>	Levamisole hydrochloride	Sigma	L0380000
PronaseSigma101632100130 µm filtersSysmexSYS-04-004-3280Ticol LS ReagentLife technologies0298028DTTSigma1019777001MIRneasy column RNA kitQIACEN217004Phenol Chicorfom IsoarmyQuantabio20280330Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantabio2028330Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantabio8190821TuSed Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaRev England Biolabs87300TuSeg Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaRS-200-012TapeStation reagentsAglient5067-5582 5067-5582Cell 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElue DNA purification kitOIACEN80400SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNexteraG40890Notheray Station reagentsSigma80908-500FormaldelydeSigma80908-500FormaldelydeNabionAM825Rabas free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM821Ovardyt Horoundeuscied complexAmbionAM821FormaldelydeAmbionAM821Rosa free BSAAmbionAM821FormaldelydeSigmaG313Rosa free BSAAmbionAM8263Tothrie BSAAmbionAM8263FormaldelydeSigmaG313FormaldelydeSigmaG313Rosa free BSAAmbionAM8263FormaliceSigmaG313Catalase suspensionSigmaG313Catalase suspensionSig	Trizol Reagent	Life Technologies	15596026
30 µm liftersSysmexSysmexSYS-04-004-2326Trizol SRegentLife tchnologies1019377001Trizol SRegentSigma1019377001MiRneasy column RNA kitQIAGEN21704Phenol Chloroform IsoamylSigma20089Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantaBio23028330RNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterRP8092HRNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterRP8092HNEBNext5 Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsR5-200-0012Traseq Small RNA Library Prep KitAglient5067-5582 5067-5583TapeStatin screen tapesAglient5067-5583 5067-5589TapeStatin screen tapesQIAGEN28006SMART-Seq y4 Ultra Low Input RNA kitNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaF8775Nase free Nuclease-free waterSigma5076-558PormalderlydeSigma9906-50GDextra sulfateSigma81402Vandyl ribonucleoside complexAmbionAM932Anadyl ribonucleoside complexAmbionAM9342Solase stockAmbionAM9342Catalase suspensionSigma6315DAPISigma6315DAPISigma63515DAPISigma63515DAPISigma63515DAPISigma63515DAPISigma63647DarbapensionSigma63515DAPISigma63515DAPI <t< td=""><td>Pronase</td><td>Sigma</td><td>10165921001</td></t<>	Pronase	Sigma	10165921001
Trizo LS ReagentLife technologies10296028DTSigma10197777001MiRneasy column RNA kitQIAGEN217004Phenol Chloroform IsoamylSigmaP069Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantabio20208330RNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterR98092HRNA 5' PolyphosphataseEr300Er300TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsEr300TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaR5-200-0012TapeStation screen tapesAglient5067-5583 5067-5583TapeStation reagentsCalderaGaturosFeld 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinEluc DNA purification kitNexteraQuadeNoteria XT DNA kitNextera84900Noteria XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaMineSu10X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9922Sortara StruKatoSigmaB096-50GEscherichia coli ItRNASigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli ItRNAMactorMageneSysCAmbionAM9618Qiucoso oxidase stockSigmaG410320X SSCAmbionAM961820X SSCSigmaSigma20X SSCSigmaSigma20X SSCSigmaSigma20X SSCSigmaSigma20X SSCSigmaSigma20X SSCSigmaSigma20X SSCSigmaSigma20X SSCSigmaSigma <td< td=""><td>30 μm filters</td><td>Sysmex</td><td>SYS-04-004-2326</td></td<>	30 μm filters	Sysmex	SYS-04-004-2326
DTTSigma1019777001MiRneasy column RNA kitQiAGEN217064Phenol Chlorofom IsoamyiSigma2009Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantaBio23028330RNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterRP8092/HNEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsE7300TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaRS-200-0012TapeStation screen tapesAgilentS067-5583 2067-5583TapeStation reagentsAgilentS067-5583 2067-5583Cel 4% agaroseLif TechnologiesG01004MinElute DNA purification kitQIAGEN28006Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIluminaR5-21102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionM9824FormaldehydeSigmaB806-50GExtera Stroke ComplexNew England BiolabsS14025Extera Stroke ComplexNew England BiolabsS14025Extera Stroke ComplexMinDionM9832FormarideSigmaG2133Course StockSigmaG2133Course StockSigmaG2133Catalase supensionSigmaG2133Catalase supensionSigmaS2002DAPSigmaS2002Catalase supensionSigmaS202DAPSigmaS203DAPSigmaS203Catalase supensionSigmaS202DAPSigmaS202DAPISigmaS202DAPSigmaS202 </td <td>Trizol LS Reagent</td> <td>Life technologies</td> <td>10296028</td>	Trizol LS Reagent	Life technologies	10296028
MiRneasy column RNA kitQiAGEN217004Phenol Chloroform IsoamylSigmaP2069Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantaBio23028330RNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterR9021-1NRA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterR9021-1NEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaS67-300TruSeg Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaS67-5582 5067-5588TapeStation screen tapesAglientS067-5583 5067-5589TapeStation reagentsAglientS067-5583 5067-5589E-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElute DNA purification kitNexteraG4890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaS6-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaB906-50GExcherichia coli tINANexteraSigmaDextran sulfateSigmaS14025FormanideAmbionAM932StSCAmbionAM2518FormanideSigmaG133Catalase suspensionSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaS2102Sodium AzideSigmaS202Sodium AzideSigmaS202Darayl ichchiaSigmaS203DaraylSigmaS213Catalase suspensionSigmaS213Catalase suspensionSigmaS202DAPISigmaS202DaraylSigmaS202Sodium AzideSigmaS202 <td>DTT</td> <td>Sigma</td> <td>10197777001</td>	DTT	Sigma	10197777001
Phenol Chloroform IsoamylSigmaP2069Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantaBio2302830RNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterRP6092HNEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsE7300TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaRS-200-012TapeStation screen tapesAglient5067-5588 5067-5588TapeStation reagentsAglient6067-5583 5067-5589E-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElute DNA purification kitQIAGEN28006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigma8075-508E-Gerlife Coll InformationMS932D806-50GE-Kerlichia coli IRNAROCHE10109541001Vanady Iribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SFormaldehydeSigma62133FormanideAmbionAM932220X SSCAmbionAM936311 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0SigmaSigmaGlucose oxidase stockSigmaSigmaColucose oxidase stockSigma202153Sodiun AzideSigmaSigmaSodiun AzideSigmaSigmaDAPISigmaS002Sodiun AzideSigmaSigmaSodiun AzideSigmaSigmaSodiun AzideSigmaSigmaSodiun Azide </td <td>MiRneasy column RNA kit</td> <td>QIAGEN</td> <td>217004</td>	MiRneasy column RNA kit	QIAGEN	217004
Heavy Phase Lock tubeQuantaBio23028330RNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterRP8092HNEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsE7300TapeStation screen tapesAglientS067-5582 5067-5588TapeStation reagentsAglientS067-5583 5067-5583E-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElute DNA purification kitQIAGEN8006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNextera634890Noxtera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigma8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterSigmaB906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAMaconAM932Vanady Iribourcleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM9634FormardehydeSigmaS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM9633FormarideSigmaS1402SQuicose oxidase stockSigmaS1402SGlucose oxidase stockSigmaS051SGuicose oxidase stockSigmaS051SDAPISigmaS052DavidSigmaS052Sodium AzideSigmaS052In MTis-Hol, PH 8.0SigmaS052DAPISigmaS052DAPISigmaS052DAPISigmaS052DAPISigmaS052Dary I alcoholSigma	Phenol Chloroform Isoamyl	Sigma	P2069
RNA 5' PolyphosphataseEpicenterPR8092HNEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsE7300TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaRS-200-0012TapeStation screen tapesAglientS067-5582 S067-5583TapeStation screen tapesAglientS067-5583 S067-5583TapeStation screen tapesQIAGENG401004MinElute DNA purification kitQIAGEN634890SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitIlluminaG7-301Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaG7-30110X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624SorgmaSigmaB9006-50GEscherichia coli tRNASigmaB9006-50GEscherichia coli tRNASigmaS1402SVandyl ribonucleoside complexAmbionAM261Sorg Sacco Sciage stockAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM934220X SSCSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaG213Solum AzideSigmaG202Isoamy lacoholSigmaS002Solum AzideSigmaS002Solum AzideSigmaS002Solum AzideSigmaS025BenzaldehydeSigmaS025Solum AzideSigmaS025Solum AzideSigmaS0202Solum AzideSigmaS025Solum AzideSigmaS025BenzaldehydeSigmaS03528ButanoneSigmaS0	Heavy Phase Lock tube	QuantaBio	23028330
NEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for IlluminaNew England BiolabsE7300TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaRS-200-0012TapeStation screen tapesAgilent5067-5582 5067-5582 5067-5582TapeStation reagentsQilent5067-5582 5067-5582 5067-5582E-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElute DNA purification kitQIAGEN28006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Bufferd Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaS1402SVanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SNamideAmbionAM9631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM963QX SSCSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaS200Jourd AldeSigmaS200Iocose oxidase stockSigmaS200Sodum AzideSigmaS200Iocose oxidase stochSigmaS200JoacelylSigmaS200Iocose oxidase stochSigmaS200JoacelylSigmaS200Iocose oxidase stochSigmaS200JoacelylSigmaS200JoacelylSigmaS200JoacelylSigmaS0047-3JoacelylSigmaS0047-3Joacel	RNA 5' Polyphosphatase	Epicenter	RP8092H
TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep KitIlluminaRS-200-0012TapeStation screen tapesAgilent5067-5582 5067-5583TapeStation reagentsAgilent5067-5583 5067-5583F-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElute DNA purification kitOIAGEN28006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low input RNA KitNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Bufferd Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vandyl ribonucleoside complexMibionAM2618FormardideAmbionAM963220X SSCAmbionAM963220X SSCSigma62133Glucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase supensionSigmaG213Catalase supensionSigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS3058Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS3058Isoanyl alcoholSigmaS3058 <td>NEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina</td> <td>New England Biolabs</td> <td>E7300</td>	NEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina	New England Biolabs	E7300
TapeStation screen tapesAgilent5067-5582 5067-5583TapeStation reagentsAgilent6067-5583 5067-5583E-Gel 4% agaroseLife Technologies6401004MinElute DNA purification kitOLAGEN28006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaB806-50GEscherichia coli tRNANew England BiolabsS1402SVandyl ribonucleoside complexAmbionAM2618FormaldehydeAmbionAM9832GRNase free BSAAmbionAM985GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG315Out SSCSigmaG315DAPISigmaG315DAPISigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isomal alechyleSigmaS328BenzaldehydeSigmaS328ButanoneSigmaS3283ButanoneSigmaS3282ButanoneSigmaS3282ButanoneSigmaS3282ButanoneSigmaS3282ButanoneSigmaS3282ButanoneSigmaS3282ButanoneSigmaS40473ButanoneSigmaS40473ButanoneSigma <td< td=""><td>TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep Kit</td><td>Illumina</td><td>RS-200-0012</td></td<>	TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep Kit	Illumina	RS-200-0012
TapeStation reagentsAgilent5067-5583 5067-5583 5067-5583E-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElute DNA purification kitOIAGEN28006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNextera XTG4890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigma Coll 18NAD8906-50GEscherichia coll 1RNANew England BiolabsS1402SNanady Iribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG315DAPISigmaS103S103DAPISigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isomal alcoholSigmaS2002Isomal alcoholSigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isomal alcoholSigmaS2002Isomal alcoholSigmaS2002Isomal alcoholSigmaS00473BenzaldehydeSigmaS00473ButanoneSigmaS00473ButanoneSigmaS00473ButanoneSigmaS00473ButanoneSigmaS00473ButanoneSigmaS00473ButanoneSigmaS00473ButanoneSigmaS00473	TapeStation screen tapes	Agilent	5067-5582 5067-5588
E-Gel 4% agaroseLife TechnologiesG401004MinElute DNA purification kitQIAGEN28006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormaindeAmbionAM963220X SSCAmbionAM965GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaG3515DAPISigmaSigmaS002Isoam/ AlcoleSigmaS002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS00473DatentSigmaS0473DatentSigmaS0473DatestriSigmaS0473DatestriSigmaS0473Sodiun AzideSigmaS0473DatestriSigmaS0473DatestriSigmaS0473DatestriSigma <td>TapeStation reagents</td> <td>Agilent</td> <td>5067-5583 5067-5589</td>	TapeStation reagents	Agilent	5067-5583 5067-5589
MinElute DNA purification kitQIAGEN28006SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM9763Glucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaG315DAPISigmaS202Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS202Sodiun AzideSigmaS202BenzaldehydeSigmaS202Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS202Sodiun AzideSigmaS202Sodiun AzideSigmaS202Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS202Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS202BenzaldehydeSigmaS0328ButanoneSigmaS0328ButanoneSigmaS0473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Millex 1 nm biopsy punchersSunchersSunchersSunchersRotare (PDMS)FormationSunchersSunchersRotare (PDMS)Sonce (PDMS)Sonce (PDMS)Sonce (PDMS)Suncher (PDMS)Sonce (PDMS)	E-Gel 4% agarose	Life Technologies	G401004
SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA KitNexteraNextera634890Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormanideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM963G1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM985SGGlucose oxidase stockSigmaC3113Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS2002BenzaldehydeSigmaA18099DiacetylSigmaS0528ButanoneSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersForterForter2440-401Mittex 1 mm biopsy punchersDataDataDataMittex 1 mm biopsy	MinElute DNA purification kit	QIAGEN	28006
Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation KitIlluminaFC-131-102410X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50G <i>Escherichia coli</i> tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormamideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM965GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalaes suspensionSigmaS202Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002BenzaldehydeSigmaS2002BenzaldehydeSigmaS2002Boardyl alcoholSigmaS2002BenzaldehydeSigmaS0528ButanoneSigmaS0528ButanoneSigmaS0528ButanoneSigmaS0528ButanoneSigmaS06473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersForterForterDifference method punchersForterForterDifference method punchersForterForterDifference method punchersForterForterDifference method punchersForterForterDifference method punchersForterForterDifference method punchersForterForterDiffe	SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit	Nextera	634890
10X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)AmbionAM9624FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormanideAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaC3515DAPISigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS2002BenzaldehydeSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS1093DiacetylSigmaS0528ButanoneSigmaS06473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4119862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher mercetFisher mercetDiffered StateSigmaS0473Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher mercetS1402404	Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit	Illumina	FC-131-1024
FormaldehydeSigmaF8775RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormamideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaC3515DAPISigmaS2002Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS2002BenzaldehydeSigma8.03528ButanoneSigmaS60473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher mereMuser March 201State StockSigmaS04473Differed State StockSigmaS04473Differed State StockSigmaS04473Differed State StockSigmaS04473Differed State StockSigmaS04473Soldium AzideSigmaS04473Differed State StockSigmaS04473Differed State StockSigma <td>10X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)</td> <td>Ambion</td> <td>AM9624</td>	10X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)	Ambion	AM9624
RNase free Nuclease-free waterAmbionAM9932Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormamideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaS02Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma3.0473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard40.9460-401Miller 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12.460-401	Formaldehyde	Sigma	F8775
Dextran sulfateSigmaD8906-50GEscherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormarnideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaG3515DAPISigmaS002sodium AzideSigmaSigmaIsoamyl alcoholSigmaSigmaBenzaldehydeSigma8103528ButanoneSigmaSigmaButanoneSigmaSigmaButanoneSigmaSigmaButanoneSigmaSigmaButanoneSigmaSigmaMiltex 1 mm biopsy punchersSterementSile ParenteDevementSterementSile ParenteDisterementSile ParenteSile ParenteSterementSile ParenteSile Parente	RNase free Nuclease-free water	Ambion	AM9932
Escherichia coli tRNAROCHE10109541001Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormamideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaG3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Ow Corning Sylgard4019862Miltex 1 mm biopsy punchersSolowand Solowand S	Dextran sulfate	Sigma	D8906-50G
Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexNew England BiolabsS1402SRNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormamideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigmaM3528DiacetylSigma60473ButanoneSigma60473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Miltex 1 mm biopsy punchersDie DemoteElikert 10 demote	Escherichia coli tRNA	ROCHE	10109541001
RNase free BSAAmbionAM2618FormamideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma8.03528DiacetylSigma360473ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Miltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-4011	Vanadyl ribonucleoside complex	New England Biolabs	S1402S
FormamideAmbionAM934220X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersOut A DownEtc. AutorDEFE minute AdviceSighar12-460-401	RNase free BSA	Ambion	AM2618
20X SSCAmbionAM97631 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma8.03528DiacetylSigma360473ButanoneDow Corning Sylgard4019862polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard12-460-401	Formamide	Ambion	AM9342
1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0AmbionAM9855GGlucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersSicher Parter12-460-401	20X SSC	Ambion	AM9763
Glucose oxidase stockSigmaG2133Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersSicher Stellen	1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0	Ambion	AM9855G
Catalase suspensionSigmaC3515DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401	Glucose oxidase stock	Sigma	G2133
DAPISigmaD9542Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401	Catalase suspension	Sigma	C3515
Sodium AzideSigmaS2002Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401	DAPI	Sigma	D9542
Isoamyl alcoholSigmaW205702BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401	Sodium Azide	Sigma	S2002
BenzaldehydeSigma418099DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401DEFE mine her her herSigmaSigma	Isoamyl alcohol	Sigma	W205702
DiacetylSigma8.03528ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401DIFF minute sectorOnly Demonstration500-00117-011	Benzaldehyde	Sigma	418099
ButanoneSigma360473polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401DEFE minute we thinkOnly DemonstrationFisher	Diacetyl	Sigma	8.03528
polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)Dow Corning Sylgard4019862Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchersFisher12-460-401DEFE minute with the second	Butanone	Sigma	360473
Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchers Fisher 12-460-401	polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)	Dow Corning Sylgard	4019862
	Milltex 1 mm biopsy punchers	Fisher	12-460-401
PTFE microbore tubing Cole-Parmer EW-06417-21	PTFE microbore tubing	Cole-Parmer	EW-06417-21

Cell

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Critical Commercial Assays		
Affymetrix GeneChip® C. elegans Genome Array oligonucleotide arrays	Thermo Fisher	900383
Deposited Data		
Small RNA-seq data	This study	GEO: GSE124049
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
wild type Bristol isolate	CGC	N2
rde-4(ne299)	Hobert lab	N/A
otls356[rab-3p(prom1)::2xNLS::TagRFP]	Oren-Suissa lab	OH10690
sid-1(qt9)	CGC	HC196
ttTi5605 II; unc-119(ed3) III; oxEx1578	CGC	EG6699
rde-4(ne299) (6X outcrossed)	This study	BFF12
sid-1(qt9); rde-4(ne299)	This study	BFF13
rde-4(ne299);	This study	BFF14
rde-4(ne299);	This study	BFF15
rde-4(ne299);pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+)Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp]	This study	BFF16
rde-4(ne299); hrde-1(pig4)	This study	BFF17
rde-4(ne299); hrde-1(pig4); pigEx9[Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp+Pmyo-3::Mcherry]	This study	BFF18
rde-4(ne299); sid-1(qt9); pigEx9[Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp+Pmyo-3::Mcherry]	This study	BFF19
unc-119(ed3) III, oxTi38[cb-unc-119(+)Ppie-1::GFP]	Gift from Christian Frøkjær-Jensen	EG6089
hrde-1(tm1200); EG6089	This study	BFF20
rde-4(ne299); EG6089	This study	BFF21
rde-4(ne299); hrde-1(pig4); EG6089	This study	BFF22
otIs356[rab-3p(prom1)::2xNLS::TagRFP] (3x outcrossed)	This study	BFF30
rde-4(ne299);	This study	BFF31
rde-4(ne299);	This study	BFF32
sid-1(qt9); rde-4(ne299); pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+)Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp];	This study	BFF33
hrde-1(pig4); rde-4(ne299); pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+)Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp)]	This study	BFF34
kyEx2373[Pstr-2::Gcamp; Punc-122:GFP]	Hendricks lab	CX10281
rde-4(ne299);	This study	BFF35
rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(syb776)	SunyBiotech	PHX776
rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(syb777)	SunyBiotech	PHX777
rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(syb776) (x2 outcrossed to rde-4)	This study	BFF36
rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(syb777) (x2 outcrossed to rde-4)	This study	BFF37
rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(pig5)	This study	BFF38
rrf-1(ok589)	CGC	RB798
dcr-1(mg375)	CGC	YY470
ergo-1 (tm1860)	CGC	WM158
rrf-3(pk1426)	CGC	NL2099
mut-16(pk710)	CGC	NL1810
eri-6(mg379)	CGC	GR181
nrde-3 (gg66)	CGC	YY158
Recombinant DNA		
pos-1 RNAi plasmid	Vidal RNAi library	N/A
<i>mel-26</i> RNAi plasmid	Vidal RNAi library	N/A
GFP RNAi plasmid	Hekimi lab- McGill	N/A
		(Continued on next page)

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Oligonucleotides		
PCR 1-FWD: genomic DNA rde-4 ATGGATTTAACCAAACTAACGTTTG	IDT	N/A
PCR 1-RV: genomic DNA rde-4 TCAATCCGTGAAATCATAGGTGTTG	IDT	N/A
PCR 2-FWD: Psng-1 AAACTGCAGTTAATTGTTAATTATCTAAGCTTG	IDT	N/A
PCR 2-RV: Psng-1 CGGGATCCGCTAAAATAAAAGAAATATAGAGG	IDT	N/A
PCR 3-FWD: Prgef-1 AAACTGCAGGCAGAATCGAGTCAACTGAAATCCG	IDT	N/A
PCR 3-RV: Prgef-1 AAAGGATCCCGTCGTCGTCGTCGATGC	IDT	N/A
PCR 4-FWD: hrde-1 (pig4) TCCACGTCCAATCCTTTGAGTG	IDT	N/A
PCR 4-RV: hrde-1 (pig4) AAGTTGCCCAGGGGGGT	IDT	N/A
PCR 5-FWD: saeg-2 (pig5) / saeg-2 (syb776) / saeg-2 (syb777)) TCGGCACGAAATATGTTG	IDT	N/A
PCR 5-RV: saeg-2 (pig5) / saeg-2 (syb776) / saeg-2 (syb777) AGATAGCATCTACCGGGTGCC	IDT	N/A
PCR 6-FWD: rde-4(ne299) CTAAGGCTGTCTATCAAAAGACGCCA	IDT	N/A
PCR 6-RV: rde-4(ne299) AAATACCAGGTGGAAATTCAGCACTTG	IDT	N/A
PCR -FWD: hrde-1 (tm1200) TCCACGTCCAATCCTTTGAG		N/A
PCR -RV: hrde-1 (tm1200) AGTGGAAAGAGTCACCACTTC	IDT	N/A
PCR 7-FWD: <i>pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+,Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp</i>] insert TCTCACTCGTTTAGGCTATTCC	IDT	N/A
PCR 7-RV-1: <i>pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+,Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp</i>] insert ACCCGATGAAATACGGATGC	IDT	N/A
PCR 7-RV-2: <i>pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+,Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp</i>] insert TGAGCACAATGGGAATACATCAG	IDT	N/A
PCR 75-RV-2: <i>pigSi3</i> [cb-unc-119(+,Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp] insertPsng-1 TGAGCACAATGGGAATACATCAG	IDT	N/A
hrde-1 sgRNA 1: 5' GGTGTCTATGGAAACCGAGG 3'	IDT	N/A
hrde-1 sgRNA 2: 5' CATACGATTAGCCTCCTCCT 3',	IDT	N/A
rol-6sgRNA: 5' GTGAGACGTCAACAATATGG 3'	IDT	N/A
rol-6(su1006) conversion template: 5' TGTGGGTTGATATGGTTAAAC TTGGAGCAGGAACCGCTTCCAACCGT GTGCGCTGCCAACAATAT GGAGGATATGGAGCCACTGGTGTTCAGCCACCAGCACCAAC 3'.	IDT	N/A
saeg-2 sgRNA 1: CCAGCTAGCATGACTGCCACTTT saeg-2 sgRNA 2: CCACGAATGTTTAGAGTAAGTAA	SunyBiotech	N/A
saeg-2 sgRNA 3: AGACGATGCACCATCTTCGTGT TTTAG AGCTAGA AATAGCAAGT saeg-2 sgRNA 4: TGGATCTAATGAGACGGGTAGTTT TAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGT saeg-2 sgRNA 5: CAATGAACGAAGT CATTCGGGTTT TAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGT saeg-2 sgRNA 6: GAA TGTTTAGAGTAAGTAACGTTTT AGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGT	IDT	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
RMA algorithm with Partek Genomic suite v6.6	Partek	N/A
FastQC	Andrews, 2010	N/A
Cutadapt	Martin, 2011	N/A
Shortstack	Axtell, 2013	N/A
Butter	Axtell, 2014	N/A
HTSeq count	Anders et al., 2015	N/A
R Deseq2	Love et al., 2014	N/A
FISH-quant	Mueller et al., 2013	N/A
Fiji	ImageJ	N/A

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Oded Rechavi (odedrechavi@gmail.com).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cultivation of the Worms

All strains were maintained by standard methods (Stiernagle, 2006). Unless noted otherwise, we performed all experiments at 20 degrees. The chemotaxis experiments were all performed at 25 degrees (as mentioned in the results section), except for the experiment where we compared the chemotaxis performances in 20 versus 25 degrees (Figure S5). All strains used in the study are listed in the Key Resources Table.

METHOD DETAILS

DNA constructs and transgenic animals

To express RDE-4 pan-neuronally we used two pan-neuronal promoters, *sng-1* and *rgef-1*, known to drive expression in a large percent of neurons when 2kb promoters are utilized (Ruvinsky et al., 2007; Stefanakis et al., 2015). A *trans*-spliced Yellow Fluorescent Protein (*yfp*) gene was co-transcribed together with *rde-4*.

To create expression vectors that express *rde-4* in neurons under the control of the *sng-1* promoter: The GFP sequence in vector pPD95.67 was replaced with YFP, and the coding region of *rde-4*, amplified from genomic DNA (PCR 1) was fused to SL2 via fusion PCR, and cloned into the above-mentioned plasmid. An 2000bp upstream flank of *sng-1* was amplified from genomic DNA (PCR 2) and cloned in to the plasmid with the restriction sites Pstl and BamHI. The *Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp:unc-54 UTR* construct and co-injection marker *Pmyo-3::mcherry* (pCFJ104) were linearized and injected in to *rde-4(ne299)* mutants (BFF12) generating BFF14: *rde-4(ne299)*;*pigEx9*[*Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp:unc-54 UTR* concentrations injected were *Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp:unc-54 UTR* (5 ng/µl), *Pmyo-3::mcherry* (20 ng/µl) and sheared genomic DNA (60 ng/µl).

To express *rde-4* in neurons under the *rgef-1* promoter: The 2670 bp upstream flanking region of *rgef-1* was amplified from genomic DNA (PCR 3) and cloned in to the plasmid with restriction sites PstI and BamHI. The *Prgef-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp:unc-54 UTR* construct and co-injection marker *Punc122::GFP* (pCFJ68) were linearized and injected in to *rde-4* (*ne299*) mutants (BFF12) generating BBF15: *rde-4*(*ne299*);pig*Ex10*[*Prgef-1::rde-4:SL2:'yfp+Punc-122::gfp*]. The concentrations injected were *Prgef-1::rde-4::SL2::yfp:unc-54 UTR* (5 ng/µl), *Punc122::GFP* (10 ng/µl) and sheared genomic DNA (60 ng/µl).

Expression of Psng-1::rde-4 using mos1-mediated Single Copy Insertion (mosSCI):

Psng-1:rde-4:SL2:yfp:unc-54 was digested and cloned in to plasmid pCFJ350 with restriction sites BgIII and Spel to create a repair template. A mix of pGH8 (*Prab-3::mCherry*) (10ng/μl), pCFJ90 (*Pmyo-2::mCherry*) (2.5 ng/μl), pCFJ104 (Pmyo-3:mCherry) (5ng/μl), pMA122 (*Phsp16.41::peel-1*) (10 ng/μl), pCFJ601 (*Peft-3:Mos1* transposase) (50 ng/μl), repair template (15 ng/μl) and Bluescript (60 ng/μl) was injected in to strain EG6699. Selection of transgenic strain was performed as previously described (Frøk-jaer-Jensen et al., 2008). Construct insertion was verified by PCR amplification and sequencing. Worms were outcrossed four times to wild-type N2 before crossing to *rde-4(ne299)* mutant worms (BFF12) generating BFF16: *rde-4(ne299)*; *pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+)Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp*]. Worms were then nurtured for a minimum 100 generations until experiments were conducted.

CRISPR/Cas9

CRISPR/Cas9 directed mutagenesis was utilized to generate a mutant allele of *hrde-1(pig4*) in the *rde-4(ne299*) mutant background and mutant allele of *saeg-2 (pig5*) in the *rde-4(ne299*) mutant background. Single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were inserted into pDD162 (Dickinson et al., 2013). A co-CRISPR approach, in which the wild-type *rol-6* allele was replaced with the *rol-6(su1006)* allele, was used to detect successful genome editing events (Kim et al., 2014). Young adult worms were injected with an injection mix containing: 50ng/µl of each targeting sgRNA, 50ng/µl of *rol-6* sgRNA, 20ng/µl *rol-6(su1006)* conversion template. Progeny of injected worms were screened for the roller phenotype and were examined by PCR (PCR 4 and 5) and sequencing to detect indels at edited locus. The sequences used are available in the Key Resources Table.

The generated allele *hrde-1(pig4)* contains a 399 nt deletion in exon 3 of *hrde-1*, and we called BFF17 the obtained strain (with the full genotype *rde-4(ne299);hrde-1(pig4)*). To examine whether the BFF17 double mutant lost HRDE-1 function we performed RNAi inheritance experiments and found that *rde-4* worms homozygous to the *hrde-1* mutant allele (*pig4* allele) are defective in RNAi inheritance (silencing of germline expressed GFP driven by a *pie-1* promoter), in comparison to *rde-4* worms (the canonical HRDE-1 mutant phenotype). The BFF17 strain was outcrossed to the *rde-4* mutant (BFF12) and then crossed to strain BFF14 to introduce *pigEx9[Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp+Pmyo-3::Mcherry]*, or with strain BFF16 to introduce *pigSi3[cb-unc-119(+)Psng-1::rde-4:SL2:yfp]*. All smFISH and chemotaxis experiments with the strains bearing the *hrde-1(pig4)* allele were performed at least 12 generations after the establishment of the relevant strain.

Two strains including mutant alleles of saeg-2, PHX776: rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(syb776) and PHX777: rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(syb777) were generated by SunyBiotech (China) and were verified with sequencing (PCR 5). The syb776 and syb777 alleles contain a 1255 nt deletion, eliminating 72% of the SAEG-2 coding sequence. PHX776 and PHX777 were outcrossed to rde-4 worms (BFF12) before conducting experiments and named BFF36 and BFF37 respectively. A third generated saeg-2 allele, pig5 was created in rde-4(ne299) mutants generating BFF38: rde-4(ne299); saeg-2(pig5). The allele has not been successfully genotyped yet. We suspect that the deletion created is larger than planned.

RNAi experiments testing hrde-1 functionality

To test the degree to which the CRISPR-induced *hrde-1(pig4*) allele disrupts RNAi inheritance (Figure S6) we crossed the mutants with an integrated germline GFP reporter (BFF22) and conducted RNAi inheritance experiments (heritable silencing of GFP). RNAi producing HT115 bacteria were inoculated into LB broth containing Ampicillin (100 μ g/ml) at 37°C overnight with shaking. Bacterial cultures were seeded onto NGM plate containing IPTG (1 mM) and Ampicillin (100 μ g/ml) and grown in the dark. *hrde-1(pig4);rde-4(-/-)* (BFF22) worms were first crossed to *hrde-1(tm1200)* (BFF20) worms and the cross progeny (considered as the 'P0' generation in this experiment) laid on RNAi bacteria in order to initiate the RNAi response, when the worms have one functional copy of *rde-4* (in *rde-4(+/-)hrde-1(pig-1/tm1200)* heterozygotes). P0 worms were transferred to *E.coli* OP50 bacteria at the L4 stage and allowed to lay eggs. The F1 progeny, raised on *E.coli* OP50, were individually isolated, allowed to lay eggs and then genotyped in order to identify *rde-4(ne299);hrde-1(pig4)* homozygotes. Their F2 progeny were tested on day one of adulthood for germline GFP expression. In parallel, *rde-4* mutants (BFF21) were crossed to N2 wild-type worms (EG6089) and their *rde-4* homozygous progeny tested in an identical manner as a positive control. All genotypes were additionally raised on empty-vector bearing HT115 bacteria plates and tested.

rde-4(ne299) DNA and cDNA analysis

The *rde-4* allele was identified by PCR amplification of a 389 base pair amplicon (PCR 6) of *rde-4* gene. The *rde-4(ne299)* mutant allele has a single-base insertion creating a restriction site for the enzyme BsmAI. PCR amplicons were digested with the BsmAI enzyme and DNA fragments run in a 2% electrophoresis gel to determine the genotype. *rde-4(+)* exhibits bands at 116 and 273 base pairs, while *rde-4(ne299)* exhibit bands at 102, 116 and 171 base pairs.

Experimental scheme for RNA extraction

All the experiments were conducted three times (independent biological triplicates) unless specified otherwise. Adults worms were allowed to lay eggs for 12 hours, and young adult worms (70 hours later) were collected and washed 4 times before total RNA extraction. To obtain RNA from gonads, we washed worms 4 times in M9 buffer and then transferred \sim 30 worms to a cavity microscope slide in to 10ul of egg buffer (1M HEPES, 5M NaCl, 1M MgCl₂, 1M CaCl₂, 1M KCl and 20% tween-20) containing 2mM levamisole. Gonads were dissected with gauge needles by cutting right below the pharynx or the tip of the tail, and after the spermatheca. Gonads were collected from the slide using a mouth pipette (Sigma) into an Eppendorf on ice prior to addition of Trizol. To obtain samples from F3 rde-4(ne299) progeny of Psng-1::rde-4;rde-4(ne299) worms, we crossed males of the latter with rde-4(ne299) hermaphrodites, isolated the Psng-1::rde-4(+/-) worms (at the L4 stage) in the next generation that we considered as the P0 generation (PCR 7). The P0 heterozygotes were allowed to lay eggs, and we isolated F1 individuals into separate plates. After two days of adulthood, we collected the F1 mothers for genotyping (PCR 6) and continued only with rde-4(ne299) lines. We used the F2s for synchronization of the F3 generation, as depicted above. For the High-Copy rescue strain: As extrachromosomal arrays in transgenic C. elegans strains are not inherited to 100% of the worms' progeny, we were able to collect non-array expressing worms whose parents expressed neuronal RDE-4. For the collection of Psng-1::rde-4 and F1-rde-4(-/-) worms, Psng-1::rde-4 adult worms were allowed to lay eggs, and their progeny were separately picked in to (1) array expressing worms (Psng-1::rde-4) and (2) non-array expressing worms (F1-rde-4(-/-)) under an MVX10 Olympus microscope, enabling detection of the array by both the co-transcribed YFP reporter and a co-injection marker (Pmyo-3:mcherry). Worms were allowed to recover for an hour at 20°C after picking before collection for RNA extraction. All genotypes were identically picked under the MVX10 Olympus microscope prior to collection. F1rde-4(-/-) worms were allowed to lay eggs to give the F2 progeny, that in turn produced the worms of the F3 generation that were collected for RNA extraction. The very same scheme was applied with worms expressing the other high-copy array Prgef-1::rde-4 generated with co-injection marker Punc-122::GFP. To verify that the presence of the fluorescent co-injection markers, assembled into the same extrachromosomal array following DNA injection, faithfully reports the presence of the pan-neuronal RDE-4 construct, we tested for rde-4(ne299) allele expression in Psng-1:rde-4 and Prgef-1:rde-4 worms expressing Pmyo-3::mcherry and Punc-122::GFP respectively, and their (not fluorescent) F1-rde-4(-/-) progeny (PCR 6).

Collection of isolated neurons for RNA extraction

Isolation of neurons was performed using Fluorescent-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) based on a previously described protocol (Kaletsky et al., 2016) with minor modifications. We introduced by genetic crossing a *rab-3p::2xNLS::TagRFP* transgene into the *rde-4(ne299)* (BFF12) and *Psng-1::rde-4* (BFF 16) strains, in order to mark their neurons with RFP expression (we used the OH10690 strain kindly provided by Dr. Meital Oren-Suissa, and backcrossed it x3 before further use). Synchronized Day 1 adult worms were washed 4 times in M9 buffer and transferred into a 1.7ml eppendorf tube. The pellet of worms was then quickly washed

(resuspension, short spin-down centrifugation and removal of supernatant) with 500 μ L of lysis buffer (200 mM DTT, 0.25% SDS, 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 3% sucrose), and resuspended in 750 μ L lysis buffer. The worms were lysed in room temperature for 6.5 minutes, then washed rapidly 5 times in M9 (mixing by finger-tapping and quick spin down in each round of wash). Next, we removed the supernatant and applied 500 μ L of 20mg/ml Pronase (Sigma) on the worms. We incubated the worms with Pronase for 15-20 minutes, during which we vigorously pipetted them every 2 minutes. Following each round of pipetting, 1 μ L of worms was transferred to a slide for inspection using a table microscope. We ended enzymatic incubation when most worm bodies were dissociated leaving only small debris and eggs. The digestion was stopped by adding 250 μ L of ice-cold PBS with 2% Fetal Bovine Serum and transferring the tubes to ice. The samples were then passed through a 30 μ m filter (Sysmex) into a FACS tube (still on ice), followed by additional 250 μ L of PBS(2%FBS) passing through the filter to collect remnants. Sorting was performed immediately in 4 degrees using a BDFacs Aria IIu Sorter (Beckton Dickinson), and RFP+ cells were collected directly into a tube containing 850 μ L of TRIzol LS (Life technologies). RNA isolation has been performed using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Cat No./ID: 217004) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Small RNA Library Preparation and Sequencing

For RNA isolation worms were lysed using the TRIzol reagent. $300 \ \mu$ L of TRIzol was added to 50ul of adult worms and were subjected to three cycles of freezing in -80C and vortexing at RT for 15mins. $60 \ \mu$ L of chloroform was added and samples were transferred to a pre-spun Heavy Phase Lock tube and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15mins at 4C. The aqueous phase was transferred to a pre-spun Heavy Phase Lock tube and 1:1 Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol was added and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 5mins at RT. The aqueous layer was transferred to a 1.5ml Eppendorf tube and 20 μ g of Glycogen and 1:1 Isopropanol was added. The samples were incubated at -20C for 30 mins and then centrifuged for 30mins at 16,000 g at 4C. The pellet was washed 2 times with 70% ethanol and then air-dried for 10 minutes. The pellet was re-suspended in 10ul of RNase free water. The total RNA samples (at least 150ng) were treated with RNA 5' Polyphosphatase (Epicenter), to ensure 5' monophosphate-independent capturing of small RNAs. Libraries were prepared using NEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina (New England Biolabs) or TruSeq Small RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Samples were tested for their quality and concertation on an Agilent 2200 TapeStation and then pooled together. Pools were separated on a 4% agarose E-Gel (Life Technologies) and the 140–160 nt length bands were excised and purified using MiniElute Gel Extraction kit (QIAGEN). Libraries were sequenced using an Illumina HighSeq2500 instrument or Nextseq 500. (Details about the sequencer used for each sample is available in Table S1 and GEO: GSE124049).

Gene arrays

The microarray experiments were performed using Affymetrix GeneChip® *C. elegans* Genome Array oligonucleotide arrays (Thermofisher). 500 ng of mRNA from each sample was used to generate cDNA followed by *in vitro* transcription with biotinylated UTP and CTP resulting in approximately 300-fold amplification of RNA. Spike controls were added to 15 μ g fragmented cRNA before overnight hybridization. Arrays were then washed and stained with streptavidin-phycoerythrin, before being scanned on an Affymetrix GeneChip scanner. 3'/5' ratios for GAPDH and beta-actin were confirmed to be within acceptable limits (pos_vs_neg_auc > 0.9), and BioB spike controls were found to be present on all chips, with BioC, BioD and CreX also present in increasing intensity.

mRNA Library Preparation and Sequencing

cDNA libraries were prepared with the SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit (Clontech). A total of 1 ng RNA was used as input and processed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Double strand cDNA was amplified by a 15 cycle PCR. cDNA quantity and quality was verified using a TapeStation 2200 (Agilent). One ng of cDNA was used as input for preparation of sequencing libraries using the Nextera XT DNA Sequencing kit (Illumina). Libraries were prepared according to manufacturer's instructions. Quality and concentration were verified with TapeStation 2200 and libraries were pooled according to molarity for sequencing on the NextSeq 500.

Single Molecule Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization

Probes of 20nt in length targeting mature mRNAs were designed with Stellaris RNA FISH probe designer, and probe sets of 32-40 probes selected based on gene target specificity, defined by a maximum complementary sequence of 16 nt to genes other than the defined target transcript (ensembl BLAST tool). An exemption to this was C18D4.6, as it has a paralog, F31E9.6 (Woods et al., 2013) with 86% identity in sequence, thus it was not possible to discriminate between these two transcripts. Probes were produced, conjugated to Quasar 670 and purified by Biosearch Technologies (details of probe sets are available in Table S6). Populations of worms were treated with a sodium hypochlorite protocol and left to incubate at 20°C overnight in M9 buffer to reach a synced L1 population. *Psng-1::rde-4* array expressing worms were picked under a MVX10 Olympus microscope prior to bleaching - ensuring the examination of their F1 *rde-4*(-/-) progeny. F3 *rde-4*(*ne299*) progeny of worms expressing an integrated single copy of *Psng-1::rde-4* were obtained as previously described above, only F2's were bleached to generate F3 progeny. L1 larvae were transferred to plates with *E.coli* OP50 and allowed to develop at 20°C for 48 hours reaching mid-late L4 stage. SNR (signal to noise ratio) of probes detecting tissue specific expression was optimal at the L4 stage. Synced worms were collected in M9 buffer and washed three times in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. Supernatant was removed and 1 mL of fixation buffer (100ul 37% formaldehyde solution, 100ul 10X PBS and 800ul

nuclease free water) added, followed by an incubation of 45 minutes on a rotator at room temperature. Worms were then washed twice with 1XPBS and suspended in 70% Ethanol and incubated on a rotator for 30 hours at 4°C. Ethanol was removed and 1 mL wash buffer (100ul 20XSSC, 100ul deionized formamide and 800ul nuclease free water) added and let to stand for 5 minutes. Wash buffer was aspirated away and 100ul hybridization buffer (for 10mL: 1g dextran sulfate, 10 mg Escherichia coli tRNA, 100 µL 200 mM vanadyl ribonucleoside complex, 40 µL 50 mg/mL RNase free BSA, 1ml Formamide and nuclease free water to 10 mL final volume) with a concertation of 0.05uM single-molecule fluorescent in situ hybridization (smFISH) probes added, and left for overnight incubation at 37C in the dark. Prior to imaging, hybridization buffer was removed and 1 mL of wash buffer added and left to incubate at 37C in the dark for 30 minutes. Samples were then suspended in 1 mL of fresh wash buffer with 5ug/mL DAPI, and left to incubate at 37C in the dark for 30 minutes. Wash buffer was removed and worms suspended in 1 mL 2XSSC. Buffer was aspirated and samples were incubated in 100ul GLOX buffer (for 1 mL: 40 µL 10% glucose, 10 µL 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 µL 20X SSC and 850 µL nuclease-free water) for 2 minutes. Buffer was aspirated and 100ul GLOX buffer with the addition of 1ul glucose oxidase (3.7mg/mL) and 1ul of mildly vortexed Catalase suspension, samples were kept on ice until imaging. Images were acquired with an Olympus IX83 motorized inverted microscope coupled with an ORCA flash4.0 V2 Hamamatsu camera. Exposure times and acquisition settings were identical between replicates. Individual worms were chosen for acquisition based on normal morphology and developmental stage was verified based on vulva morphology (Mok et al., 2015). Regions of interest for acquisition were defined by nuclei DAPI staining. Z stacks were acquired with a 0.3 um step size ranging between 70-85 slices per stack.

pos-1 and mel-26 RNAi

HT115 *Escherichia coli* strains expressing dsRNAs were obtained from the Vidal RNAi library (Rual et al., 2004). L4 stage worms were transferred to plates for 24 hours and allowed to lay eggs. After 72 hours their surviving progeny were transferred to fresh plates (IPTG 1M, Ampicillin 100ug/ml) seeded with RNAi bacteria at day one adult and allowed to lay eggs overnight for 12 hours. After 36 hours, L3 worms were counted (2 generations on RNAi). High-Copy *Psng-1::rde-4* and *Prgef-1::rde-4* strains were counted under a MVX10 Olympus microscope to differentiate between array expressing and non-array expressing worms.

Chemotaxis assays

Behavioral chemotaxis assays were conducted according to the standard procedures (Hart 2006). Gravid worms were allowed to lay eggs for 12 hours in conditioning temperature (20 or 25 degrees) and then removed. When synced populations of worms reached day 1 adult they were collected in wash buffer (same content as chemotaxis assay plates: 5 mM KP0₄, 1mM CaCl₂ and 1mM MgS0₄), allowing worms to sediment by gravity, and washed three times to remove bacteria. > 200 worms were placed in 90mm chemotaxis plates (2% agar, 5 mM KP0₄, 1mM CaCl₂ and 1mM MgS0₄) in a drop of wash buffer. For volatile odors 1 μ L of benzaldehyde (Sigma), diacetyl (Sigma) or butanone (Sigma) (diluted in 95% ethanol) was applied to one point on the assay plate while 95% ethanol was placed on the opposite side. For NaCl tests, agar plugs consisting 50mM of NaCl were left to diffuse overnight in order to create a gradient. 1 μ L of 1M sodium azide (Sigma) was previously placed on odor points and allowed to dry prior to assay. Excess fluid was collected with a kimpwipe, plates were wrapped with parafilm, and worms were allowed to move for 1 hour in the incubator at the correlating conditioning temperature. Plates were transferred to 4°C and worms scored after 2 days.

Chemotaxis index = $\frac{\#$ worms at odor - #worms at ethanol Total worms on plate - worms at origin

Microfluidic device fabrication

Standard soft lithography methods were used to fabricate photoresist (SU8) masters for microfluidic devices (San-Miguel and Lu, 2013-wormbook) as described in Ouellette et al., (2018). Two-component polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) (Dow Corning Sylgard) was mixed at 10:1 w/w, degassed under vacuum, poured over masters, degassed again, and cured at 50°C overnight. Inlet holes were made with a Milltex 1 mm biopsy punches (Fisher). Chips were cleaned with Isopropyl alcohol and Scotch tape (3M) and then bonded to glass coverslips using air plasma generated by a handheld Electro-Technic Products). Chips were then left at 50°C overnight. Coupling to fluid reservoirs was done by inserting PTFE microbore tubing (Cole-Parmer) directly into chip inlet holes.

Calcium Imaging

Fluorescence time lapse imaging was performed as described (Hendricks et al., 2012) on restrained animals in microfluidic chips (Chronis et al., 2007). Images were acquired at 5 fps with a 100ms exposure using a 40X silicone-immersion objective on an Olympus IX83 inverted microscope. Animals were washed in buffer (5mM KP0₄, 1mM CaCl₂ and 1mM MgS0₄) for 15 minutes prior to acquisition. In the chip, worms were exposed to 1 minute of isoamyl alcohol (1:10⁴), followed by a switch to wash buffer. Approximately 30 s of image acquisition documented the event of odor removal, which induces responses in sensory neuron AWC in wild-type animals. Fluid flow was driven by negative pressure from a vacuum pump and switches were controlled with a Valvebank solenoid pinch valve array (AutoMate Scientific).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Small RNA-seq analysis

Illumina fastq output files were assessed for quality with FastQC (Andrews, 2010). Cutadapt (Martin, 2011) was then used for adaptor removal:

cutadapt -m 15-discard-untrimmed -a [3' adaptor sequence] input.fastq > output.fastq

Clipped reads were aligned to the ce10 assembly of C. elegans genome using Butter (Axtell, 2014) or Shortstack (Axtell, 2013):

Butter 'or' Shortstack-mismatches 1 input.fq genome _reference.fa

We next filtered for small RNA reads of 20-23 nucleotide in length (Blumenfeld and Jose, 2016). Then we counted reads in antisense orientation to genes as defined by the corresponding Ensembl .gff file, using a python based script HTSeq count (Anders et al., 2015):

HTSeq.scripts.count-stranded = reverse-minaqual = 0-mode = intersection-nonempty input.sam GENES.gff > output.txt

Next, we used the HTSeq output as an input file for R Deseq2 (Love et al., 2014). We defined differentially expressed genes using cutoff of adjusted p value < 0.1.

Bioinformatic gene enrichment analysis

The enrichment values denote the ratio between the observed representation of a specific gene set within a defined differentially expressed genes group, to the expected one, i.e., the representation of the examined gene set among all protein-coding genes in *C. elegans*. The analysis was done for 5 gene sets: (1) targets regulated by DCR-1 helicase domain (Welker et al., 2010), (2) 177 STGs downregulated in *mut-16(mg461)* worms (Zhang et al., 2011) (3) 87 targets of ERGO-1 dependent 22Gs, (4) 4191 targets of CSR-1 endo-siRNAs (Claycomb et al., 2009), (5) 1587 targets of HRDE-1 endo-siRNAs (Buckley et al., 2012).

Specifically, the enrichment value of a given gene set i in differentially expressed STGs was calculated using the following formula:

 $Enrichment = \frac{Observed}{Expected} = \left(\frac{fraction \text{ of genes belong to the } i - th \text{ set among differentially expressed STGs}}{fraction \text{ of genes belong to the } i - th \text{ set among all the genes}}\right)$

Obtaining the observed-to-expected ratios, we then calculated the corresponding p values using 10,000 random gene groups identical in size to that of the examined group of differentially expressed genes. For instance, if we found enrichment of 2 (linear scale) for a given gene set among the 476 genes which had statistically significant differentially-expressed targeting STGs in *Psng-1::rde-4* (compared to the *rde-4* mutants, Figure 1D), we randomly sampled from all the genes 10,000 groups of 476 genes, and calculated their enrichment for the dataset of interest. We then ranked the govern enrichment values, and determined the p value of the expressed genes with upregulated STGs in *Psng-1::rde-4* by the fraction of the enrichment values higher than 2 among the 10,000 random sets.

smFISH Image Analysis

Images were analyzed using FISH-quant software (Mueller et al., 2013). For each experimental trial, images of individual worms were first filtered with FISH-quant and then processed on Fiji (ImageJ) to produce Z stack by maximum intensity. Images with high background signal due to non-optimal fixation were disregarded. Next, according to the FISH-quant software protocol (Mueller et al., 2013), a pre-detection process was conducted on random images for each probe set and an optimal intensity threshold was chosen for mRNA dot detection. According to the FISH-quant pipeline, the detected spots were then fit with a 3D Gaussian and detection settings optimized and saved. The saved settings were then used for a batch process to analyze all images for the relevant probe set. Following image analysis of all experiments per probe set, for each genotype tested, images with average, minimum and maximum values were further examined for accuracy of dot detection to confirm that thresholds could be applied across trials. Additionally, for images with values that were statistical outliers dot detection was conducted and examined to rule out possible technical factors due to over fixation (low SNR) or inefficient probe staining.

Calcium Imaging analysis

GCaMP2 fluorescence intensity was measured with FIJI (ImageJ). Time lapse images were corrected for movements in the xy axis using stack registration. For each worm the ROI was selected manually, defined by the cell body of AWC projected in z by maximum intensity. GCaMP2 (Tian et al., 2009) intensity of the ROI was measured and normalized with the formula:

(Ft - Fmin) / (Fmax - Fmin), transforming fluorescent intensity to values within a range of 0 to 1. Mean Peak was calculated as the average delta of intensity 2 s prior to stimuli removal, minus the maximum delta intensity following odor removal: F_0 : average of the first 10 frames. ΔF : ((Ft-F₀)/F₀)*100.

Mean peak: average $\Delta F_{(2 \text{ s pre-stimuli})}$ - max $\Delta F_{(\text{post-stimuli})}$. Time delay was calculated as the number of seconds from odor removal it took for the florescence to reach maximum intensity.

Germline GFP expression analysis

For each condition, at least 50 animals were mounted on a 2% agarose slide and paralyzed in a drop of M9 with 25mM sodium azide. The worms were imaged with a 10x objective on a IX83 Olympus microscope (Identical exposure times and led intensities between conditions and replicates). The images were analyzed with ImageJ software. For at least 40 worms per condition, the CTCF values of three oocyte nuclei were averaged per worm. CTCF values for worms raised on dsRNA-GFP expressing bacteria were normalized to the average CTCF value of the genotype population raised on empty-vector.

Pan-neuronal YFP expression analysis

Day one adult worms of *Psng-1::rde-4* and *Prgef-1::rde-4* strains were mounted a 2% agarose slides and paralyzed in a drop of M9 with 25mM sodium azide. Images were acquired with a 10x objective on a IX83 Olympus microscope, acquiring a 10-12 frame Z stack. Images were then processed with ImageJ software, producing Z-projections of the maximum intensity.

Statistics and graphing

Statistics and graphing were conducted on MATLAB, GraphPad Prism 6 or using Python with the Seaborn data visualization library. Corrections for multiple comparisons were performed as indicated in legends, using Tukey's or Dunn's multiple comparisons corrections, and adjusted P values are denoted. Comparisons of variance were performed with the F-test of equality of variances.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The accession number for the sequencingd data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE124049.

Supplemental Figures



Figure S1. High-Copy Expression of RDE-4 in Neurons Regulates a Subset of STGs, Related to Figure 1

(A) A typical image demonstrating the neuronal expression pattern of the rescued RDE-4 (*Prgef-1::rde-4::SL2::yfp*), as monitored by examination of a *trans*spliced YFP fluorescent reporter. *Prgef-1::rde-4::SL2::yfp* was co-injected with *Punc122*::GFP expressed in coelomocytes (marked in red). Bar = 20 μm. (B and C) smFISH staining of *yfp* transcripts (magenta) and DAPI nuclei staining (blue) in one typical worm expressing *Prgef-1::rde-4::SL2::yfp*. Shown are focal plains focusing on the neuronal ventral chord (B), yellow dashed lines), and the germline (C), white dashed lines). Bar = 20 μm.

(D and E) Expression of STGs in rescued *Psng-1::rde-4* (D) and *Prgef-1::rde-4* (E) worms (y axis) compared to *rde-4(ne299)* mutants (x axis). Shown are the averaged expression values (log2 of RPM) of STGs (See also Table S2). Each dot represents an STG. Red dots: STGs that display differential expression between groups (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1).

(F and G) x-fold enrichment and depletion values of upregulated STGs (upper panel) and downregulated STGs (lower panel) following RDE-4 High-Copy rescue in neurons. P values for enrichment were calculated using 10,000 random gene sets identical in size to the tested group (See "STAR Methods" for details). For the clarity of display, complete depletion (linear enrichment = 0) appears with the smallest value in the scale. Enrichments were considered significant if p < 0.05. ns-p > 0.05. ***- p < 0.001. ****- $p < 10^{-4}$.



Figure S2. Isolation and Sequencing of Neuronal Small RNAs and Neuronal mRNAs from N2 Wild-type Worms, Related to Figure 2

(A) Normalized mRNA levels reported by Kaletsky et al. (2016) (y axis), versus the mRNA levels (log2 of RPM) measured in four samples of N2 worms collected in independent experiments (x axis). Each dot represents a gene. As many points may overlap each other, and to better visualize the distribution of the data, we added a color code reflecting the number of genes in each bin.

(B) Shown is a histogram indicating the proportion of STGs (y axis) with different expression levels, displayed by log2 of RPM average (x axis). The vertical red line corresponds to value of 5 RPM (linear scale). We used a cut-off of > 5 RPM to create a list of robustly expressed STGs in N2 neurons (See Table S3). Please note the scale in the y axis is changing, with steps of 0.01 in the range of 0 to 0.1, and steps of 0.1 for proportions higher than 0.1.

(C) Enriched GO terms for the sub-set of 412 genes targeted by NeuroSTGs with RPM > 5, which are upregulated in isolated neurons in comparison to STGs extracted from the entire animal. As can be seen, 6 of the 7 most enriched GO terms depict a variety of neuronal processes. Analysis done using the GOrilla tool (Eden et al., 2009); all the enriched GO terms with FDR < 0.05 are displayed.



Figure S3. Worms Expressing Pan-Neuronal RDE-4 off High-Copy Transgenes Regulate mRNA Targets Transgenerationally, Related to Figures 3, 4, and 5

(A) Worms with the indicated genotype (y axis) were allowed to lay eggs on plates containing dsRNA-producing bacteria targeting the germline-expressed genes *pos*-1 & *mel*-26 or an empty-vector control. Shown are the percentage of hatched eggs per plate (x axis) following exposure to RNAi. Each dot represents one tested plate (biological replicate). Each group was tested in at least three independent experiments including n > 2 biological replicates. P values were determined by Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc correction for multiple comparison. ns- p > 0.05. ****- $p < 10^{-4}$. Related to Figure 3.

(B) Clustering of STGs based on changes in whole-animal samples from worms rescued with the indicated transgene, compared to *rde-4(-)* mutants. "P0" depicts the data for the rescued lines, and "F1" and "F3" depict the data for their progeny that have lost the High-Copy transgene (See "STAR Methods" section and Table S4). Shown are all STGs displaying significant differential expression in P0 (analyzed with Deseq2, adjusted p value < 0.1). Genes which did not show significant differential expression in F1 or F3 (adjusted p value > = 0.1) are colored in gray.

(C) (i) Representative images of smFISH staining against C18D4.6 (upper panel) and C55C3.3 (lower panel) in the posterior gonad of indicated genotypes. The stained worms were synchronized as late L4s. For representation, all images were filtered according to the FISH-quant software (Mueller et al., 2013), projected in the Z axis by maximum intensity and threshold adjusted, identically between conditions. Scale bars = 20μ m (ii) Quantification of C18D4.6 and C55C3.3 germline mRNA expression (FISH-quant, see methods) in the indicated genotypes. Each dot represents one quantified worm, and worms were tested on three independent experiments. P values were determined by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post hoc correction for multiple comparison and asterisks represent P values in comparison to *rde-4(-)*. ****- p < 10⁻⁴, **- p < 0.01. Bars represent mean ± SD.

(D and E) smFISH quantification of worms with the indicated genotype (x axis) to determine the impact of *hrde-1* (D) and *sid-1* (E) on the germline regulation of C18D4.6 (upper panel) and C55C3.3 (lower panel) by High-Copy *Psng-1::rde-4*. n.s- p > 0.05. ***- p < 0.001. ****- $p < 10^{-4}$.



Figure S4. SID-1 Regulates a Subset of GermSTGs that Depend on Neuronal RDE-4, Related to Figure 5

Shown is a Venn diagram depicting the criteria applied in order to detect *sid-1*-dependent GermSTGs in high confidence. The number of STGs passing each criteria appears in parenthesis. We kept only STGs that were: (1) upregulated in the germline in *Psng-1::rde-4* versus *rde-4(-)*, (2) downregulated in *sid-1;Psng-1::rde-4* versus *Psng-1::rde-4*, (3) not downregulated in *sid-1;rde-4(-)* versus *rde-4(-)*, (4) not upregulated in *sid-1;rde-4(-)* versus *sid-1;Psng-1::rde-4*.



Figure S5. *rde-4* Mutants Are Defective in Chemotaxis under a Stressful Temperature but Display Normal Activity in the AWC Sensory Neuron, Related to Figure 6

(A and B) Results for experiments testing chemotaxis at day 1 of adulthood of worms. Chemotaxis index = ((# worms at stimulus)-(# worms at control)) / ((# total worms on plate)-(# worms at origin)). Each dot represents one plate with > 200 worms. All groups were tested on at least three independent trials (n = > 9). (A) Chemotaxis screens were performed on RNAi factor mutants at both 20 (blue dots) and 25 degrees (red dots). Chemotaxis indices (x axis) were tested for the strains (y axis) N2(wild-type), *rde-4(ne299)*, *dcr-1(mg375)*, *ergo-1 (tm1860)*, *nrde-3 (gg66)*, *rrf-1(ok589)*, *rrf-3(pk1426)*, *mut-16(pk710)* and *eri-6(mg379)*. The odor stimulus used was benzeldahyde (1:100). P values were determined by two-way ANOVA, ****-p < 10⁻⁴.

(B) *rde-4* mutants are defective in chemotaxis to multiple stimuli at high temperature. N2(wild-type) (black dots) and *rde-4* mutants (green dots) raised at 25 degrees for chemotaxis to Benzaldehyde (1:10²), Butanone (1:10⁴), Diacetyl (1:10³) and NaCl (50mM). P values were determined by Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's post hoc correction for multiple comparisons. ****- $p < 10^{-4}$.

(C) A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) projection of 12 samples based on normalized STGs read counts. Each symbol represents one independent replicate. The corresponding genotype and temperature are indicated. The % variances, out of the total original variance in the high-dimensional space, spanned by the first and second Principal Components are indicated on the x- and y- axis, respectively. Related to Figure 6.

(D) Activity of sensory neuron AWC was quantified by GCaMP2 fluorescence intensity in a microfluidic device controlling stimulus exposure. N2 (wild-type) (n = 15) and rde-4 (n = 20) mutants were loaded into chips and exposed to the stimulus loamyl alcohol $(1:10^4)$ for 1 minute followed by a switch to buffer (indicated by the red arrow). Each row represents an individual worm. Shown are the fluorescence intensity values normalized from 0 to 1 (Ft-Fmin)/(Fmax-Fmin) across time (seconds).

(E) Maximum fluorescence intensity increase (x axis) of the N2 and rde-4 worms (y axis) of AWCneurons in response to odor removal. Mean peak was defined as ΔF (2 s pre-stimuli) – max ΔF (post-stimuli).

(F) Time (x axis) it took from the moment of odor removal for AWC neurons in N2 and rde-4 worms (y axis) to reach maximum fluorescence intensity. P values were determined by Mann-Whitney tests. n.s.- p > 0.05.



Figure S6. Worms that Are Homozygous for the hrde-1 Mutant Allele pig4 Are Defective in RNAi Inheritance, Related to STAR Methods

Worms heterozygote to the indicated genotype and expressing a germline GFP reporter were exposed to bacteria expressing anti-GFP dsRNA (P0 generation). We used heterozygotes so that the worms could initiate an RNAi response (rde-4 mutants do not initiate RNAi responses). GFP silencing levels were tested in the P0 heterozygotes and their homozygote mutated F2 progeny. These experiments were conducted in three independent replicates (n = > 30).

(A) Relative GFP (GFP/empty vector) fluorescence in F2 homozygotes. Each dot represents one worm. P values were determined by one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc correction for multiple comparison. ****- p < 10-4; n.s.- p > 0.05. Data shown are means \pm SD.

(B) Relative GFP fluorescence levels (GFP/empty vector) between worm populations exposed to GFP RNAi at the P0 generation were averaged across trials.